ALISAL MARKETPLACE MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM APNs 003-051-082-000, 003-051-083-000, 003-051-065-000, 003-051-054-000, 003-051-055-000, 003-051-008-000, 003-052-001-000, 003-052-002-000, 003-052-018-000, 003-052-019-000, 003-052-023-000, 003-052-032-000, 003-052-017-000, 003-052-031-000, 003-041-029-000, 003-041-031-000, 003-041-001-000, 003-041-028-000 (GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT 2022-002 AND REZONE 2022-002)

Mitigation Measures	Timing of	Responsible for		ation of pletion
C C	Verification	Verification	Date	Initials
Air Quality				
 Mitigation Measure AQ-1: Construction Air Quality. During construction, the applicant or successor in interest for each individual site shall: Limit grading to 8.1 acres per day, and limit grading and excavation to 2.2 acres per day. Provide watering trucks on site to maintain adequate soil moisture during grading and water graded/excavated areas at least twice daily, thus minimizing dust generation. In addition, the water trucks shall be used to wash down trucks and tractors, including earth loads, prior to entering public roadways. Prohibit all grading activities whenever wind speeds exceed 15 miles per hour (mph). Maintain a minimum of two feet for freeboard for all haul trucks. Cover all trucks hauling dirt, sand, or loose materials. Enforce a 15-mph speed limit for all unpaved surfaces when visible dust clouds are formed by vehicle movement. 	During construction.	Development and Engineering Services Department – Plan Check Service		

	1		
 Place gravel base near site entrances to clean tires prior to entering public roadways. 			
 Mitigation Measure AQ-2: MBARD Health Risk Consultation. Prior to issuance of any grading permit and/or building permit for each individual site, the applicant or successor in interest shall consult with MBARD regarding the potential need for a diesel health risk assessment (HRA). If required, the applicant or successor in interest shall prepare a diesel HRA and shall implement the measures contained therein to ensure that project-specific emissions are below MBARD's established health risk thresholds: hazard index greater than 1 for acute or chronic impacts, and cancer risk greater than 10 in one million for long-term operational emissions or 1 per 100,000 population for temporary construction-related emissions. Measures may include, but would not be limited to: Use of diesel-fueled equipment equipped with Tier 4 (or Tier 3 if the Tier 4 standard is unavailable) USEPA engine standards. The USEPA estimates that Tier 4 engines would reduce PM emissions by approximately 90 percent compared to the USEPA Tier 2 standards (USEPA 2008). Retrofit off-road diesel equipment with Verified Diesel Emissions Control Strategy (VDECS) like Diesel Particulate Filters (DPF). Particulate Matter level 3 VDECS can provide at least an 85 percent reduction (CARB 2015). Use alternatively fueled (e.g., natural gas) diesel construction equipment, including all off-road and portable diesel-powered equipment. Use electrically driven equipment that is not powered by a portable generator set. Limit the hours of operation for heavy-duty equipment and/or limit the quantity of heavy-duty equipment operating at the same time. 	Prior to issuance of any grading permit and/or building permit; during construction.	Development and Engineering Services Department – Plan Check Services; MBARD	

			EXHIBI	
 Mitigation Measure BIO-1: Nesting Bird Surveys and Avoidance. The Project shall implement the following measures to mitigate for loss of nesting habitat of the Project in compliance with the federal Migratory Bird Treaty Act and relevant Fish and Game Codes: Avoidance. In order to avoid impacts to nesting raptors and migratory birds, the Project will be constructed, if feasible, from September 16th and January 31st, which is outside the avian nesting season. Preconstruction Surveys. If Project activities must occur during the nesting season (February 1-September 15), a qualified biologist will conduct preconstruction surveys for active raptor and migratory bird nests within 10 days prior to the start of these activities. The survey will include the proposed work area(s) and surrounding lands within 500 feet, where accessible, for all nesting raptors and migratory birds. If no active nests are found within the survey area, no further mitigation is required. Establish Buffers. Should any active nests be discovered near proposed work areas, no disturbance buffers of 250 feet around active nests of non-listed bird species and 500 feet around active nests of non-listed raptors will be established. If work needs to occur within these no disturbance buffers, a qualified biologist will monitor the nest daily for one week, and thereafter once a week, throughout the duration of construction activity. Should the nature of construction activity significantly change, such that a higher level of disturbance will be generated, monitoring will occur daily for one week and then resume the once-a- week regime. If, at any time, the biologist determines that construction activity within the designated buffer will be altered or suspended until the biologist determines that the nest site is no longer susceptible to deleterious disturbance. 	Not more than 14 days prior to vegetation clearance.	Development and Engineering Services Department – Community Development Department		

Cultural Resources			
CUL-1 Historical Resources Identification and Treatment Plan	Prior to permit	Development and	
Prior to permit approval for development on the Project site, a	approval.	Engineering Services	
historical resources evaluation shall be completed for that		Department –	
individual site to confirm if existing buildings and/or structures		Community	
withing these sites qualify as historical resources as defined by		Development	
Section 15064.5(a) of CEQA Guidelines. The evaluation shall be		Department	
prepared by a qualified architectural historian or historian who			
meets the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualifications			
Standards (PQS) in architectural history or history. The qualified			
architectural historian or historian shall conduct an intensive-level			
evaluation in accordance with the guidelines and best practices promulgated by the State Office of Historic Preservation to identify			
any potential historical resources within the proposed project area.			
All properties 45 years of age or older shall be evaluated within			
their historic context and documented in a report meeting the			
State Office of Historic Preservation guidelines. All evaluated			
properties shall be documented on Department of Parks and			
Recreation Series 523 Forms. The report shall be submitted to the			
City for review and concurrence.			
Any relocation, rehabilitation, or alteration of the resource shall be			
implemented consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's			
Standards for the Treatments of Historic Properties (Standards).			
In accordance with CEQA, a project that has been determined to			
conform with the Standards generally would not cause a			
significant adverse direct or indirect impact to historical resources			
(14 CCR Section 15126.4[b][1]). Application of the Standards shall			
be overseen by a qualified architectural historian or historic			
architect meeting the PQS. In conjunction with any development			
application that may affect the historical resource, a report			
identifying and specifying the treatment of character-defining			
features and construction activities shall be provided to the City			

for review and concurrence, in addition to the historical resources evaluation.			
If significant historical resources are identified on a development site and compliance with the Standards and or avoidance is not feasible, the applicant or developer shall provide a report explaining why compliance with the Standards and or avoidance is not feasible for the City's review and approval. Site-specific mitigation measures shall be established and undertaken, including, but not limited to, documentation of the historical resource in the form of a Historic American Buildings Survey-Like report. The report shall be commissioned by the project applicant or their consultant to comply with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Architectural and Engineering Documentation and shall generally follow the Historic American Buildings Survey Level III requirements, including digital photographic recordation, detailed historic narrative report, and compilation of historic research. The documentation shall be completed by a qualified architectural historian or historian who meets the PQS and submitted to the City prior to issuance of any permits for demolition or alteration of the historical resource.			
Mitigation Measure CUL-2 Phase I Cultural Resources Study Prior to the issuance of any grading or construction permits for each individual site, a Phase I cultural resources study shall be performed by a qualified professional meeting the Secretary of the Interior's (SOI's) Professional Qualification Standards (PQS) for archaeology (National Park Service 1983). The Phase I cultural resources study shall include a pedestrian survey of the project site when appropriate and sufficient background research and field sampling to determine whether archaeological resources may be present. Archival research shall include a records search of the Northwest Information Center (NWIC) no more than two years old and a Sacred Lands File search with the NAHC. The Phase I	Prior to issuance of grading or construction permits.	Development and Engineering Services Department – Community Development Department	

technical report documenting the study shall include recommendations that shall be implemented prior to and/or during construction to avoid or reduce impacts to archaeological resources. Recommendations may include, but would not be limited to, archaeological construction monitoring, sensitivity training, or additional testing and mitigation Measures CUL-3 through CUL-7). The report shall be submitted to the City for review and approval prior to the issuance of any grading or construction permits. The City shall include recommendations in the Phase I technical report as Conditions of Approval to be implemented throughout all ground disturbance activities. The final report shall be submitted to the NWIC. Mitigation Measure CUL-3 Extended Phase I Testing If recommended by the Phase I study for each individual site (Mitigation Measure CUL-2), the project applicant shall retain a qualified archaeologist to conduct an Extended Phase I (XPI) study to determine the presence/absence and extent of construction permit. tudy to determine the presence/absence and extent of urbs to submitted to VDI to the implemented to the VDI to the implemented prior to the implemented prior to the implemented prior to the prime the presence/absence and extent of
archaeological resources on the project site. XPI testing shall include a series of shovel test pits and/or hand augured units and/or mechanical trenching to establish the boundaries of archaeological site(s) on the project site. If the boundaries of the archaeological site are already well understood from previous archaeological work, an XPI will not be required. All archaeological excavation shall be conducted by a qualified archaeologist(s) under the direction of a principal investigator meeting the SOI's PQS for archaeology (National Park Service 1983). If an XPI report is prepared, it shall be submitted to the City for review and approval prior to the investigator of a grading or
archaeological work, an XPI will not be required. All
archaeologist(s) under the direction of a principal investigator
1983). If an XPI report is prepared, it shall be submitted to the City
for review and approval prior to the issuance of a grading or construction permit. Recommendations therein shall be
implemented for all ground disturbance activities.
Recommendations may include, but would not be limited to, site
avoidance, Phase II Site Evaluation, Cultural Resources Monitoring, and/or measures for unanticipated discoveries

			• -
(outlined in Mitigation Measures CUL-4, CUL-5, CUL-7, and CUL- 8). The final report shall be submitted to the NWIC.			
Mitigation Measure CUL-4 Archaeological Site Avoidance Any identified archaeological sites (determined after implementing Mitigation Measures CUL-2 and/or CUL-3) or archaeological resources encountered during ground-disturbing activities shall be avoided by project-related construction activities, where feasible. A barrier (temporary fencing) and flagging shall be placed between the work location and any resources within 60 feet of a work location to minimize the potential for inadvertent impacts. If the resource cannot be avoided, Mitigation Measure CUL-5 shall be implemented.	During construction.	Development and Engineering Services Department – Community Development Department	
Mitigation Measure CUL-5 Phase II Site Evaluation If the results of any Phase I and/or XPI for each individual site (Mitigation Measures CUL-2 and/or CUL-3) indicate the presence of archaeological resources that cannot be avoided by the project (Mitigation Measure CUL-4) and that have not been adequately evaluated for the NRHP or CRHR listing at the project site, the qualified archaeologist shall conduct a Phase II investigation to determine if intact deposits remain and if they may be eligible for the CRHR or qualify as unique archaeological resources. If the archaeological resource(s) of concern are Native American in origin, the qualified archaeologist shall confer with the City and local California Native American tribe(s). A Phase I evaluation shall include any necessary archival research to identify significant historical associations and mapping of surface artifacts, collection of functionally or temporally diagnostic tools and debris, and excavation of a sample of the cultural deposit. The sample excavation would be carried out to characterize the nature of the site(s), define the artifact and feature contents, determine horizontal and vertical boundaries, and retrieve representative samples of artifacts and other remains.	During construction.	Development and Engineering Services Department – Community Development Department	

If the archaeologist and, if applicable, a Native American monitor or other interested tribal representative determine it is appropriate, cultural materials collected from the site shall be processed and analyzed in a laboratory according to standard archaeological procedures. The age of the materials shall be determined using radiocarbon dating and/or other appropriate procedures; lithic artifacts, faunal remains, and other cultural materials shall be identified and analyzed according to current professional standards. The significance of the site(s) shall be evaluated according to the criteria of the CRHR and if applicable, NRHP. The results of the investigations shall be presented in a technical report following the standards of the California Office of Historic Preservation publication "Archaeological Resource Management Reports: Recommended Content and Format (1990 or latest edition)." Recommendations in the Phase II report shall be implemented for all ground disturbance activities. Recommendations may include, but would not be limited to, Phase III Data Recovery, Cultural Resources Monitoring, and/or measures for unanticipated discoveries (outlined in Mitigation Measures CUL-6 through CUL-8). The report shall be submitted to the City for review and approval prior to the issuance of any grading or construction permits. The final report shall be submitted to to the NWIC.			
Mitigation Measure CUL-6 Phase III Data Recovery Should the results of the Phase II site evaluation for each individual site (Mitigation Measure CUL-5) yield resources that meet CRHR significance standards and if the resource cannot be avoided by project construction in accordance with CUL-4, the project applicant shall ensure that all feasible recommendations for mitigation of archaeological impacts are incorporated into the final design and approved by the City prior to construction. Any necessary Phase III data recovery excavation, conducted to exhaust the data potential of significant archaeological sites, shall	During construction.	Development and Engineering Services Department – Community Development Department	

			1 -
be carried out by a qualified archaeologist meeting the SOI's PQS for archeology (National Park Service 1983). Data recovery shall be conducted in accordance with a research design reviewed and approved by the City, prepared in advance of fieldwork, and using the appropriate archaeological field and laboratory methods			
consistent with the California Office of Historic Preservation Planning Bulletin 5 (1991), Guidelines for Archaeological Research Design, or the latest edition thereof. If the archaeological resource(s) of concern are Native American in			
origin, the qualified archaeologist shall confer with the City and local California Native American tribe(s). As applicable, the final Phase III Data Recovery reports shall be submitted to the City prior to issuance of any grading or construction permit. Recommendations contained therein shall be			
implemented throughout all ground disturbance activities. Recommendations may include, but would not be limited to, Cultural Resources Monitoring, and/or measures for unanticipated discoveries (outlined in Mitigation Measures CUL-7 and CUL-8).			
The final report shall be submitted to the NWIC upon completion. Mitigation Measure CUL-7 Cultural Resources Monitoring If recommended by Phase I, XPI, Phase II, or Phase III studies for each individual site (Mitigation Measures CUL-2, CUL-3, CUL-5,	During construction.	Development and Engineering Services Department –	
and/or CUL-6), the project applicant shall retain a qualified archaeologist to monitor project-related, ground-disturbing activities which may include the following but not limited to: grubbing, vegetation removal, trenching, grading, and/or		Community Development Department	
excavations. The archaeological monitor shall coordinate with any Native American monitor as required. Monitoring logs must be completed by the archaeologist daily. Cultural resources monitoring may be reduced for the project if the qualified			
archaeologist finds it appropriate to reduce the monitoring efforts. Upon completion of ground disturbance for the project, a final report must be submitted to the City for review and approval			

EXHIBIT E documenting the monitoring efforts, cultural resources find, and resource disposition. The final report shall be submitted to the NWIC. Mitigation Measure CUL-8 Unanticipated Discovery of Cultural During Development and **Engineering Services** Resources construction. If archaeological resources are encountered during ground-Department disturbing activities, work within 50 feet shall be halted and the Community project archaeologist meeting the SOI's PQS for archeology Development (National Park Service 1983) shall immediately to evaluate the Department find pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21083.2. If necessary, the evaluation may require preparation of a treatment plan and archaeological testing for CRHR eligibility. If the discovery proves to be significant under CEQA and cannot be avoided by the project, additional work may be warranted, such as data recovery excavation, to mitigate any significant impacts to significant resources. If the resource is of Native American origin, implementation of Mitigation Measures TCR-1 may be required. Any reports required to document and/or evaluate unanticipated discoveries shall be submitted to the City for review and approval and submitted to the NWIC after completion. Recommendations contained therein shall be implemented throughout the remainder of ground disturbance activities. Greenhouse Gas Emissions Mitigation Measure GHG-1: Future development shall install EV Prior to permit Development and charging infrastructure according to the most ambitious voluntary approval. **Engineering Services** standard in the California Green Building Standards Code at the Department -Community time of project approval. Development Department Mitigation Measure GHG-2: Future development shall provide no Prior to permit Development and more parking spaces than the off-street parking requirements **Engineering Services** approval. established in the City of Salinas Municipal Code. Alternatively, Department multi-family residential development can choose to unbundle Community

			EXHIBIT E
parking costs with costs to rent or own a residential unit instead of		Development	
meeting the maximum off-parking requirement.		Department	
Hazards and Hazardous Material			
Mitigation Measure HAZ-1: Prior to the obtaining grading permits	Prior to obtaining	Development and	
or starting other ground disturbing work for each individual parcel,	grading permits or	Engineering Services	
the City shall hire a qualified environmental professional to	starting other	Department –	
conduct a Phase I environmental assessment (ESA), consistent	ground disturbing	Community	
with the American Society for Testing Materials standards (ASTM	work for each	Development	
E1527). The Phase I ESA shall evaluate the likelihood that	individual parcel.	Department.	
hazardous chemicals are present and whether soil sampling is			
necessary. If the Phase I ESA indicates that contamination is			
unlikely, no further mitigation is necessary other than any			
recommendations identified in the Phase I ESA (such as stopping			
work if stained soil is encountered). If the Phase I ESA indicates			
that additional soil sampling or other further evaluation is			
necessary, the City and/or future developer shall hire a qualified			
environmental professional to conduct a Phase II ESA to			
determine the presence and extent of contamination. If the results			
indicate that contamination exists at levels above regulatory action			
standards, then the site shall be remediated in accordance with			
recommendations made by applicable regulatory agencies,			
including RWQCB and DTSC. The agencies involved shall			
depend on the type and extent of contamination. If remediation is			
necessary, the City shall hire a qualified environmental			
professional prior to obtaining grading permits or ground			
disturbance to prepare a work plan that identifies necessary			
remediation activities, including excavation and removal of on-site			
contaminated soils, appropriate dust control measures, and			
redistribution of clean fill material on the project site. The plan			
shall include measures that ensure the safe transport, use, and			
disposal of contaminated soil removed from the site. The plan			
shall also identify when and where soil disturbing construction			

			EXHIBIT E
activities may safely commence. The City shall review and approve the work plan prior to issuance of demolition or grading permits. The City shall require individual projects to comply with the work plan as a condition of approval. Hydrology and Water Quality			
Mitigation Measure HYD-1: Future Development projects on the subject site that would demand an amount of water equivalent to, or greater than, the amount of water required by a 500-dwelling unit project are required to prepare a Water Supply Assessment.	Prior to permit approval.	Development and Engineering Services Department – Community Development Department	
Noise			
 Mitigation Measure NOI-1: Prior to ground disturbing activities, the City of Salinas shall ensure the following with the Project proponent: Construction equipment, fixed of mobile, shall be outfitted with properly operating and maintained mufflers. Construction noise reduction methods such as shutting off idling equipment, installing temporary acoustic barriers around stationary construction noise sources, maximizing the distance between construction equipment staging areas and occupied residential areas, and using electric air compressors and similar power tools rather than diesel equipment shall be used. During construction, stationary construction equipment shall be located so that emitted noise is directed away from or shielded from sensitive noise receivers. 	During construction.	Development and Engineering Services Department – Community Development Department.	
Mitigation Measure NOI-2: The use of heavy construction equipment within 25 feet of existing structures shall be prohibited.	During construction.	Development and Engineering Services Department – Community	

			1 -
		Development	
		Department.	
Transportation			
Mitigation Measure TRANS-1: To maintain safety standards at all intersections and roadway segments pursuant to implementation actions identified in the Vision Zero Action Plan, a traffic impact study shall be required for all development projects anticipated to generate 110 or more new daily vehicle trips within the Project Area, unless not required by the City. Depending on the results of this study, future developments may be required to construct or contribute to street safety improvements to meet the demand generated by the project. Improvements shall be in accordance with the City of Salinas' Vision Zero Action Plan (i.e. pedestrian- activated crosswalk warning beacon, high visibility crosswalks, pedestrian hybrid beacon, reduced parking at intersection, intersection control, raised median and street trees, protected bike lanes, and lane reduction). These improvements shall be required as conditions of approval. Tribal Cultural Resources	Prior to permit approval.	Development and Engineering Services Department –Traffic Engineering and Plan Check Services	
Mitigation Measure TCR-1 Inadvertent Discoveries During Construction In the event that cultural resources of Native American origin are identified during grading or construction, all earth disturbing work within the vicinity of the find shall be temporarily suspended or redirected until a qualified archaeologist has evaluated the nature and significance of the find; an appropriate Native American representative, based on the nature of the find, is consulted; and mitigation measures are put in place for the disposition and protection of any find pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21083.2. If the City, in consultation with local Native Americans, determines that the resource is a tribal cultural resource and thus significant under CEQA, a mitigation plan shall be prepared and implemented in accordance with state guidelines and in	During construction.	Development and Engineering Department – Community Development Department.	

consultation with local Native American group(s) prior to continuation of any earth disturbing work within the vicinity of the find. The plan shall include avoidance of the resource or, if avoidance of the resource is infeasible, shall outline the appropriate treatment of the resource in coordination with the appropriate local Native American tribal representative and, if applicable, a qualified archaeologist. Examples of appropriate mitigation for tribal cultural resources include, but are not limited to, protecting the cultural character and integrity of the resource, protecting traditional use of the resource, protecting the confidentiality of the resource, or heritage recovery.				
Utilities and Service Systems				
Mitigation Measure UTL-1: New development generating wastewater flows that results in a downstream exceedance of	Prior to permit approval.	Development Engineering	and Services	
0.75 d/D shall construct system upgrades for those found to be insufficient in capacity per the requirements of the Public Works Department. The flow shall be verified through a sewer modeling program during the planning and design phase, prior to entitlement approval. The model shall evaluate pipeline capacity, flow velocity, and maximum d/D ratio for normal, dry, and wet		Department	Gervices	
weather conditions.				

EDGE OF DOWNTOWN/FRONT AND JOHN STREETS MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM APNs 002-362-021-000, 002-362-008-000, 002-362-009-000, 002-362-015-000, 002-362-017-000, 002-362-019-000, 002-362-020-000, and 002-382-072-000 (GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT 2022-002 AND REZONE 2022-002)

	Timing of	Responsible for		ation of letion
	Verification	Verification	Date	Initials
Air Quality	·	·		•
Mitigation Measure AQ-1: Construction Air Quality. During construction, the	During	Development and		
applicant or successor in interest for each individual site shall:	construction	Engineering		
• Limit grading to 8.1 acres per day, and limit grading and excavation to		Services		
2.2 acres per day.		Department – Plan		
		Check Service		

 Provide watering trucks on site to maintain adequate soil moisture during grading and water graded/excavated areas at least twice daily, thus minimizing dust generation. In addition, the water trucks shall be used to wash down trucks and tractors, including earth loads, prior to entering public roadways. Prohibit all grading activities whenever wind speeds exceed 15 miles per hour (mph). Maintain a minimum of two feet for freeboard for all haul trucks. Cover all trucks hauling dirt, sand, or loose materials. Cover inactive storage piles. Enforce a 15-mph speed limit for all unpaved surfaces when visible dust clouds are formed by vehicle movement. Place gravel base near site entrances to clean tires prior to entering public roadways. 			
 Mitigation Measure AQ-2: MBARD Health Risk Consultation. Prior to issuance of any grading permit and/or building permit for each individual site, the applicant or successor in interest shall consult with MBARD regarding the potential need for a diesel health risk assessment (HRA). If required, the applicant or successor in interest shall prepare a diesel HRA and shall implement the measures contained therein to ensure that project-specific emissions are below MBARD's established health risk thresholds: hazard index greater than 1 for acute or chronic impacts, and cancer risk greater than 10 in one million for long-term operational emissions or 1 per 100,000 population for temporary construction-related emissions. Measures may include, but would not be limited to: Use of diesel-fueled equipment equipped with Tier 4 (or Tier 3 if the Tier 4 standard is unavailable) USEPA engine standards. The USEPA estimates that Tier 4 engines would reduce PM emissions by approximately 90 percent compared to the USEPA Tier 2 standards (USEPA 2008). 	Prior to issuance of any grading permit and/or building permit; during construction	Development and Engineering Services Department – Plan Check Services; MBARD	

			EXHIBI	ΤE
 Retrofit off-road diesel equipment with Verified Diesel Emissions Control Strategy (VDECS) like Diesel Particulate Filters (DPF). Particulate Matter level 3 VDECS can provide at least an 85 percent reduction (CARB 2015). Use alternatively fueled (e.g., natural gas) diesel construction equipment, including all off-road and portable diesel-powered equipment. Use electrically driven equipment that is not powered by a portable generator set. Limit the hours of operation for heavy-duty equipment and/or limit the quantity of heavy-duty equipment operating at the same time. 				
Biological Resources	1	1		-
 Mitigation Measure BIO-1: Nesting Bird Surveys and Avoidance. The Project shall implement the following measures to mitigate for loss of nesting habitat of the Project in compliance with the federal Migratory Bird Treaty Act and relevant Fish and Game Codes: Avoidance. In order to avoid impacts to nesting raptors and migratory birds, the Project will be constructed, if feasible, from September 16th and January 31st, which is outside the avian nesting season. Preconstruction Surveys. If Project activities must occur during the nesting season (February 1-September 15), a qualified biologist will conduct preconstruction surveys for active raptor and migratory bird nests within 10 days prior to the start of these activities. The survey will include the proposed work area(s) and surrounding lands within 500 feet, where accessible, for all nesting raptors and migratory birds. If no active nests are found within the survey area, no further mitigation is required. Establish Buffers. Should any active nests be discovered near proposed work areas, no disturbance buffers of 250 feet around active nests of non-listed bird species and 500 feet around active nests of non-listed bird species and 500 feet around active nests of non-listed bird species and 500 feet around active nests of non-listed bird species and 500 feet around active nests of non-listed bird species and 500 feet around active nests of non-listed bird species and 500 feet around active nests of non-listed bird species and 500 feet around active nests of non-listed bird species and 500 feet around active nests of non-listed bird species and 500 feet around active nests of non-listed bird species and 500 feet around active nests of non-listed bird species and 500 feet around active nests of non-listed protections and 500 feet around active nests of non-listed bird species and 500 feet around active nests of non-listed raptors will be established. If work needs to occur within these no disturbance buffers, a qualified biologi	Not more than 14 days prior to vegetation clearance.	Development and Engineering Services Department – Community Development Department		

			EXHIBI ⁻	ΤE
of construction activity. Should the nature of construction activity				
significantly change, such that a higher level of disturbance will be				
generated, monitoring will occur daily for one week and then resume				
the once-a-week regime. If, at any time, the biologist determines that				
construction activity may be compromising nesting success,				
construction activity within the designated buffer will be altered or				
suspended until the biologist determines that the nest site is no longer susceptible to deleterious disturbance.				
Cultural Resources				
CUL-1 Historical Resources Identification and Treatment Plan	Prior to	Development and		
Prior to permit approval for development on the Project site, a historical	permit	Engineering		
resources evaluation shall be completed for that individual site to confirm if	approval.	Services		
existing buildings and/or structures withing these sites qualify as historical		Department –		
resources as defined by Section 15064.5(a) of CEQA Guidelines. The		Community		
evaluation shall be prepared by a qualified architectural historian or historian		Development		
who meets the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualifications Standards		Department		
(PQS) in architectural history or history. The qualified architectural historian or				
historian shall conduct an intensive-level evaluation in accordance with the				
guidelines and best practices promulgated by the State Office of Historic				
Preservation to identify any potential historical resources within the proposed				
project area. All properties 45 years of age or older shall be evaluated within				
their historic context and documented in a report meeting the State Office of				
Historic Preservation guidelines. All evaluated properties shall be documented				
on Department of Parks and Recreation Series 523 Forms. The report shall be				
submitted to the City for review and concurrence.				
Any relocation, rehabilitation, or alteration of the resource shall be				
implemented consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatments of Historic Properties (Standards). In accordance with CEQA, a				
project that has been determined to conform with the Standards generally				
would not cause a significant adverse direct or indirect impact to historical				
resources (14 CCR Section 15126.4[b][1]). Application of the Standards shall				
be overseen by a qualified architectural historian or historic architect meeting				
the PQS. In conjunction with any development application that may affect the				

historical resource, a report identifying and specifying the treatment of character-defining features and construction activities shall be provided to the City for review and concurrence, in addition to the historical resources evaluation. If significant historical resources are identified on a development site and compliance with the Standards and or avoidance is not feasible, the applicant or developer shall provide a report explaining why compliance with the Standards and or avoidance is not feasible of the City's review and approval. Site-specific mitigation measures shall be established and undertaken, including, but not limited to, documentation of the historical resource in the form of a Historic American Buildings Survey-Like report. The report shall be commissioned by the project applicant or their consultant to comply with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Architectural and Engineering Documentation and shall generally follow the Historic American Buildings Survey Level III requirements, including digital photographic recordation, detailed historic narrative report, and compliation of historic research. The documentation shall be completed by a qualified architectural historian or historian who meets the PQS and submitted to the City prior to issuance of any permits for demolition or alteration of the historical resource. Prior to the issuance of any grading or construction permits for each individual site, a Phase I cultural resources study shall be performed by a qualified professional meeting the Secretary of the Interior's (SOI's) Professional Qualification Standards (PQS) for anchaeology (National Park Service 1983). The Phase I cultural resources study shall include a pedestrian survey of the project site when appropriate and sufficient background research and field sampling to determine whether archaeological resources may be present. Archival research shall include a records search of the Northwest Information Center (NWIC) no more than two years old and a Sacred Lands File search			-	
City for review and concurrence, in addition to the historical resources evaluation. If significant historical resources are identified on a development site and compliance with the Standards and or avoidance is not feasible, the applicant or developer shall provide a report explaining why compliance with the Standards and or avoidance is not feasible for the City's review and approval. Site-specific mitigation measures shall be established and undertaken, including, but not limited to, documentation of the historical resource in the form of a Historic American Buildings Survey-Like report. The report shall be commissioned by the project applicant or their consultant to comply with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Architectural and Engineering Documentation and shall generally follow the Historic American Buildings Survey Level III requirements, including digital photographic recordation, detailed historic narrative report, and compilation of historical resource. Mitigation Measure CUL-2 Phase I Cultural Resources Study Prior to the issuance of any grading or construction permits for each individual site, a Phase I cultural resources study shall be performed by a qualified anglification Standards (PQS) for archaeology (National Park Service 1983). The Phase I cultural resources actudy shall be cordicativa sub shall be performed by a pualified angling to determine whether archaeological resources may be present. Archival research shall include a records search and field sampling to determine whether archaeological resources may be present. Archival research shall include a records search of the Northwest Information Center (NWIC) no more than two years old and a Sacred Lands File search with the NAHC. The Phase I technical report documenting the study shall include recommendations that shall be implemented prior to and/or during				
evaluation. If significant historical resources are identified on a development site and compliance with the Standards and or avoidance is not feasible, the applicant or developer shall provide a report explaining why compliance with the Star-specific mitigation measures shall be established and undertaken, including, but not limited to, documentation of the historical resource in the form of a Historic American Buildings Survey-Like report. The report shall be commissioned by the project applicant or their consultant to comply with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Architectural and Engineering Documentation and shall generally follow the Historic American Buildings Survey Level III requirements, including digital photographic recordation, detailed historic narrative report, and compilation of historic research. The documentation shall be completed by a qualified architectural historian or historian who meets the PQS and submitted to the City prior to issuance of any permits for demolition or alteration of the historical resource. Mitigation Measure CUL-2 Phase I Cultural Resources Study Prior to the issuance of any grading or construction permits for each individual site, a Phase I cultural resources study shall be performed by a qualified professional meeting the Secretary of the Interior's (SOI's) Professional Qualification Standards (PQS) for archaeology (National Park Service 1983). The Phase I cultural resources study shall include a pedestrian survey of the project site when appropriate and sufficient background research and field sampling to determine whether archaeological resources may be present. Archival research shall include a records search of the Northwest Information Center (NWIC) no more than two years old and a Sacred Lands File search with the NAHC. The Phase I technical report documenting the study shall include recommendations that shall be implemented prior to and/or during				
If significant historical resources are identified on a development site and compliance with the Standards and or avoidance is not feasible, the applicant or developer shall provide a report explaining why compliance with the Standards and or avoidance is not feasible for the City's review and approval. Site-specific mitigation measures shall be established and undertaken, including, but not limited to, documentation of the historical resource in the form of a Historic American Buildings Survey-Like report. The report shall be commissioned by the project applicant or their consultant to comply with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Architectural and Engineering Documentation and shall generally follow the Historic American Buildings Survey Level III requirements, including digital photographic recordation, detailed historic narrative report, and compilation of historic research. The documentation shall be completed by a qualified architectural historian or historian who meets the PQS and submitted to the City prior to issuance of any permits for demolition or alteration of the historical resource. Mitigation Measure CUL-2 Phase I Cultural Resources study professional meeting the Secretary of the Interior's (SOI's) Professional couldification Standards (PQS) for archaeology (National Park Service 1983). The Phase I cultural resources study shall include a pedestrian survey of the project site when appropriate and sufficient background research and field asampling to determine whether archaeological resources may be present. Archival research shall include a records search of the Northwest Information Center (NWIC) no more than two years old and a Sacred Lands File search with the NAHC. The Phase I technical report documenting the study shall include recommendations that shall be implemented prior to and/or during				
compliance with the Standards and or avoidance is not feasible, the applicant or developer shall provide a report explaining why compliance with the Standards and or avoidance is not feasible for the City's review and approval. Site-specific mitigation measures shall be established and undertaken, including, but not limited to, documentation of the historical resource in the form of a Historic American Buildings Survey-Like report. The report shall be commissioned by the project applicant or their consultant to comply with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Architectural and Engineering Documentation and shall generally follow the Historic American Buildings Survey Level III requirements, including digital photographic recordation, detailed historic narrative report, and compilation of historic research. The documentation shall be completed by a qualified architectural historian or historian who meets the PQS and submitted to the City prior to issuance of any permits for demolition or alteration of the historical resource. Mitigation Measure CUL-2 Phase I Cultural Resources Study professional meeting the Secretary of the Interior's (SOI's) Professional cualification Standards (PQS) for archaeological resources may be present. Archival research shall include a records search of the Northwest Information Center (NWIC) no more than two years old and a Sacred Lands File search with the NAHC. The Phase I technical report documenting the study shall include recommendations that shall be implemented prior to and/or during				
or developer shall provide a report explaining why compliance with the Standards and or avoidance is not feasible for the City's review and approval. Site-specific mitigation measures shall be established and undertaken, including, but not limited to, documentation of the historical resource in the form of a Historic American Buildings Survey-Like report. The report shall be commissioned by the project applicant or their consultant to comply with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Architectural and Engineering Documentation and shall generally follow the Historic American Buildings Survey Level III requirements, including digital photographic recordation, detailed historic narrative report, and compilation of historic research. The documentation shall be completed by a qualified architectural historian or historian who meets the PQS and submitted to the City prior to issuance of any permits for demolition or alteration of the historical resource. Mitigation Measure CUL-2 Phase I Cultural Resources Study Prior to the issuance of any grading or construction permits for each individual site, a Phase I cultural resources study shall be performed by a qualified project site when appropriate and sufficient background research and field sampling to determine whether archaeological resources may be present. Archival research shall include a records search of the Northwest Information Center (NWIC) no more than two years old and a Sacred Lands File search with the NAHC. The Phase I technical report documenting the study shall include recommendations that shall be implemented prior to and/or during				
Standards and or avoidance is not feasible for the City's review and approval. Site-specific mitigation measures shall be established and undertaken, including, but not limited to, documentation of the historical resource in the form of a Historic American Buildings Survey-Like report. The report shall be commissioned by the project applicant or their consultant to comply with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Architectural and Engineering Documentation and shall generally follow the Historic American Buildings Survey Level III requirements, including digital photographic recordation, detailed historic narrative report, and compilation of historic research. The documentation shall be completed by a qualified architectural historian or historian who meets the PQS and submitted to the City prior to issuance of any permits for demolition or alteration of the historical resource. Mitigation Measure CUL-2 Phase I Cultural Resources Study Prior to the issuance of any grading or construction permits for each individual site, a Phase I cultural resources study shall be performed by a qualified professional meeting the Secretary of the Interior's (SOI's) Professional Qualification Standards (PQS) for archaeology (National Park Service 1983). The Phase I cultural resources study shall include a pedestrian survey of the project site when appropriate and sufficient background research and field sampling to determine whether archaeological resources may be present. Archival research shall include a records search of the Northwest Information Center (NWIC) no more than two years old and a Sacred Lands File search with the NAHC. The Phase I technical report documenting the study shall include recommendations that shall be implemented prior to and/or during				
Site-specific mitigation measures shall be established and undertaken, including, but not limited to, documentation of the historical resource in the form of a Historic American Buildings Survey-Like report. The report shall be commissioned by the project applicant or their consultant to comply with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Architectural and Engineering Documentation and shall generally follow the Historic American Buildings Survey Level III requirements, including digital photographic recordation, detailed historic narrative report, and compilation of historic research. The documentation shall be completed by a qualified architectural historian or historian who meets the PQS and submitted to the City prior to issuance of any permits for demolition or alteration of the historical resources. Mitigation Measure CUL-2 Phase I Cultural Resources Study Prior to the issuance of any grading or construction permits for each individual site, a Phase I cultural resources study shall be performed by a qualified professional meeting the Secretary of the Interior's (SOI's) Professional Qualification Standards (PQS) for archaeology (National Park Service 1983). The Phase I cultural resources study shall include a pedestrian survey of the project site when appropriate and sufficient background research and field sampling to determine whether archaeological resources may be present. Archival research shall include a records search of the Northwest Information Center (NWIC) no more than two years old and a Sacred Lands File search with the NAHC. The Phase I technical report documenting the study shall include recommendations that shall be implemented prior to and/or during				
including, but not limited to, documentation of the historical resource in the form of a Historic American Buildings Survey-Like report. The report shall be commissioned by the project applicant or their consultant to comply with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Architectural and Engineering Documentation and shall generally follow the Historic American Buildings Survey Level III requirements, including digital photographic recordation, detailed historic narrative report, and compilation of historic research. The documentation shall be completed by a qualified architectural historian or historian who meets the PQS and submitted to the City prior to issuance of any permits for demolition or alteration of the historical resources. Mitigation Measure CUL-2 Phase I Cultural Resources Study Prior to the issuance of any grading or construction permits for each individual site, a Phase I cultural resources study shall be performed by a qualified professional meeting the Secretary of the Interior's (SOI's) Professional Qualification Standards (PQS) for archaeologic (National Park Service 1983). The Phase I cultural resources study shall include a pedestrian survey of the project site when appropriate and sufficient background research and field sampling to determine whether archaeological resources may be present. Archival research shall include a records search of the Northwest Information Center (NWIC) no more than two years old and a Sacred Lands File search with the NAHC. The Phase I technical report documenting the study shall include recommendations that shall be implemented prior to and/or during	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
form of a Historic American Buildings Survey-Like report. The report shall be commissioned by the project applicant or their consultant to comply with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Architectural and Engineering Documentation and shall generally follow the Historic American Buildings Survey Level III requirements, including digital photographic recordation, detailed historic narrative report, and compilation of historic research. The documentation shall be completed by a qualified architectural historian or historian who meets the PQS and submitted to the City prior to issuance of any permits for demolition or alteration of the historical resources. Mitigation Measure CUL-2 Phase I Cultural Resources Study Prior to the issuance of any grading or construction permits for each individual site, a Phase I cultural resources study shall be performed by a qualified orpofessional meeting the Secretary of the Interior's (SOI's) Professional Qualification Standards (PQS) for archaeology (National Park Service 1983). The Phase I cultural resources study shall include a pedestrian survey of the project site when appropriate and sufficient background research and field sampling to determine whether archaeological resources may be present. Archival research shall include a records search of the Northwest Information Center (NWIC) no more than two years old and a Sacred Lands File search with the NAHC. The Phase I technical report documenting the study shall include recommendations that shall be implemented prior to and/or during				
commissioned by the project applicant or their consultant to comply with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Architectural and Engineering Documentation and shall generally follow the Historic American Buildings Survey Level III requirements, including digital photographic recordation, detailed historic narrative report, and compilation of historic research. The documentation shall be completed by a qualified architectural historian or historian who meets the PQS and submitted to the City prior to issuance of any permits for demolition or alteration of the historical resource. Mitigation Measure CUL-2 Phase I Cultural Resources Study Prior to the issuance of any grading or construction permits for each individual site, a Phase I cultural resources study shall be performed by a qualified or professional meeting the Secretary of the Interior's (SOI's) Professional Qualification Standards (PQS) for archaeology (National Park Service 1983). The Phase I cultural resources study shall include a pedestrian survey of the project site when appropriate and sufficient background research and field sampling to determine whether archaeological resources may be present. Archival research shall include a records search of the Northwest Information Center (NWIC) no more than two years old and a Sacred Lands File search with the NAHC. The Phase I technical report documenting the study shall include recommendations that shall be implemented prior to and/or during				
Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Architectural and Engineering Documentation and shall generally follow the Historic American Buildings Survey Level III requirements, including digital photographic recordation, detailed historic narrative report, and compilation of historic research. The documentation shall be completed by a qualified architectural historian or historian who meets the PQS and submitted to the City prior to issuance of any permits for demolition or alteration of the historical resource. Mitigation Measure CUL-2 Phase I Cultural Resources Study Prior to the issuance of any grading or construction permits for each individual site, a Phase I cultural resources study shall be performed by a qualified professional meeting the Secretary of the Interior's (SOI's) Professional Qualification Standards (PQS) for archaeology (National Park Service 1983). The Phase I cultural resources study shall include a pedestrian survey of the project site when appropriate and sufficient background research and field sampling to determine whether archaeological resources may be present. Archival research shall include a records search of the Northwest Information Center (NWIC) no more than two years old and a Sacred Lands File search with the NAHC. The Phase I technical report documenting the study shall include recommendations that shall be implemented prior to and/or during				
Documentation and shall generally follow the Historic American Buildings Survey Level III requirements, including digital photographic recordation, detailed historic narrative report, and compilation of historic research. The documentation shall be completed by a qualified architectural historian or historian who meets the PQS and submitted to the City prior to issuance of any permits for demolition or alteration of the historical resource.Prior toDevelopment and EngineeringMitigation Measure CUL-2 Phase I Cultural Resources Study Profor to the issuance of any grading or construction permits for each individual site, a Phase I cultural resources study shall be performed by a qualified professional meeting the Secretary of the Interior's (SOI's) Professional Qualification Standards (PQS) for archaeology (National Park Service 1983). The Phase I cultural resources study shall include a pedestrian survey of the project site when appropriate and sufficient background research and field sampling to determine whether archaeological resources may be present. Archival research shall include a records search of the Northwest Information Center (NWIC) no more than two years old and a Sacred Lands File search with the NAHC. The Phase I technical report documenting the study shall include recommendations that shall be implemented prior to and/or duringPrior to and/or duringDevelopment Department				
Survey Level III requirements, including digital photographic recordation, detailed historic narrative report, and compilation of historic research. The documentation shall be completed by a qualified architectural historian or historian who meets the PQS and submitted to the City prior to issuance of any permits for demolition or alteration of the historical resource. Mitigation Measure CUL-2 Phase I Cultural Resources Study Prior to the issuance of any grading or construction permits for each individual site, a Phase I cultural resources study shall be performed by a qualified professional meeting the Secretary of the Interior's (SOI's) Professional Qualification Standards (PQS) for archaeology (National Park Service 1983). The Phase I cultural resources study shall include a pedestrian survey of the project site when appropriate and sufficient background research and field sampling to determine whether archaeological resources may be present. Archival research shall include a records search of the Northwest Information Center (NWIC) no more than two years old and a Sacred Lands File search with the NAHC. The Phase I technical report documenting the study shall include recommendations that shall be implemented prior to and/or during				
detailed historic narrative report, and compilation of historic research. The documentation shall be completed by a qualified architectural historian or historian who meets the PQS and submitted to the City prior to issuance of any permits for demolition or alteration of the historical resource.Prior to issuance of any grading or construction permits for each individual site, a Phase I cultural resources study shall be performed by a qualified professional meeting the Secretary of the Interior's (SOI's) Professional Qualification Standards (PQS) for archaeology (National Park Service 1983). The Phase I cultural resources study shall include a pedestrian survey of the project site when appropriate and sufficient background research and field sampling to determine whether archaeological resources may be present. Archival research shall include a records search of the Northwest Information Center (NWIC) no more than two years old and a Sacred Lands File search with the NAHC. The Phase I technical report documenting the study shall include recommendations that shall be implemented prior to and/or duringPrior to prior to issuance of any grading or construction permits.Development and Engineering Services Department – Community Development				
documentation shall be completed by a qualified architectural historian or historian who meets the PQS and submitted to the City prior to issuance of any permits for demolition or alteration of the historical resource.Prior to issuance of any prior to the issuance of any grading or construction permits for each individual site, a Phase I cultural resources study shall be performed by a qualified professional meeting the Secretary of the Interior's (SOI's) Professional Qualification Standards (PQS) for archaeology (National Park Service 1983). The Phase I cultural resources study shall include a pedestrian survey of the project site when appropriate and sufficient background research and field sampling to determine whether archaeological resources may be present. Archival research shall include a records search of the Northwest Information Center (NWIC) no more than two years old and a Sacred Lands File search with the NAHC. The Phase I technical report documenting the study shall include recommendations that shall be implemented prior to and/or duringPrior to prior to project site when appropriate and sufficient provide a precedence of the Northwest Information Center (NWIC) no more than two years old and a Sacred Lands File search with the NAHC. The Phase I technical report documenting the study shall include recommendations that shall be implemented prior to and/or duringPrior to provide and complete the prior to and/or duringDevelopment and provide and the prior to issuance of any grading or construction permits.				
historian who meets the PQS and submitted to the City prior to issuance of any permits for demolition or alteration of the historical resource.Prior to issuance of any grading or construction permits for each individual issuance of argading or construction permits for each individual site, a Phase I cultural resources study shall be performed by a qualified professional meeting the Secretary of the Interior's (SOI's) Professional Qualification Standards (PQS) for archaeology (National Park Service 1983). The Phase I cultural resources study shall include a pedestrian survey of the project site when appropriate and sufficient background research and field sampling to determine whether archaeological resources may be present. Archival research shall include a records search of the Northwest Information Center (NWIC) no more than two years old and a Sacred Lands File search with the NAHC. The Phase I technical report documenting the study shall include recommendations that shall be implemented prior to and/or duringPrior to Perior to Development Development DepartmentDevelopment Engineering Services Department – Community Development Department				
permits for demolition or alteration of the historical resource.Prior toDevelopment andMitigation Measure CUL-2 Phase I Cultural Resources Study Prior to the issuance of any grading or construction permits for each individual site, a Phase I cultural resources study shall be performed by a qualified professional meeting the Secretary of the Interior's (SOI's) Professional Qualification Standards (PQS) for archaeology (National Park Service 1983). The Phase I cultural resources study shall include a pedestrian survey of the project site when appropriate and sufficient background research and field sampling to determine whether archaeological resources may be present. Archival research shall include a records search of the Northwest Information Center (NWIC) no more than two years old and a Sacred Lands File search with the NAHC. The Phase I technical report documenting the study shall include recommendations that shall be implemented prior to and/or duringPrior to Prior to Issuance of grading or Construction permits.Development and Engineering Services Department – Community Development Development				
Mitigation Measure CUL-2 Phase I Cultural Resources StudyPrior toDevelopment andPrior to the issuance of any grading or construction permits for each individualissuance ofEngineeringsite, a Phase I cultural resources study shall be performed by a qualifiedgrading orServicesprofessional meeting the Secretary of the Interior's (SOI's) ProfessionalconstructionDevelopment andQualification Standards (PQS) for archaeology (National Park Service 1983).permits.DevelopmentThe Phase I cultural resources study shall include a pedestrian survey of theproject site when appropriate and sufficient background research and fieldDevelopmentsampling to determine whether archaeological resources may be present.DevelopmentDepartmentArchival research shall include a records search of the Northwest InformationPrior to and/or duringDepartment				
Prior to the issuance of any grading or construction permits for each individual site, a Phase I cultural resources study shall be performed by a qualified professional meeting the Secretary of the Interior's (SOI's) Professional Qualification Standards (PQS) for archaeology (National Park Service 1983). The Phase I cultural resources study shall include a pedestrian survey of the project site when appropriate and sufficient background research and field sampling to determine whether archaeological resources may be present. Archival research shall include a records search of the Northwest Information Center (NWIC) no more than two years old and a Sacred Lands File search with the NAHC. The Phase I technical report documenting the study shall include recommendations that shall be implemented prior to and/or during		Prior to	Development and	
site, a Phase I cultural resources study shall be performed by a qualified professional meeting the Secretary of the Interior's (SOI's) Professional Qualification Standards (PQS) for archaeology (National Park Service 1983). The Phase I cultural resources study shall include a pedestrian survey of the project site when appropriate and sufficient background research and field sampling to determine whether archaeological resources may be present. Archival research shall include a records search of the Northwest Information Center (NWIC) no more than two years old and a Sacred Lands File search with the NAHC. The Phase I technical report documenting the study shall include recommendations that shall be implemented prior to and/or during			•	
professional meeting the Secretary of the Interior's (SOI's) Professional Qualification Standards (PQS) for archaeology (National Park Service 1983). The Phase I cultural resources study shall include a pedestrian survey of the project site when appropriate and sufficient background research and field sampling to determine whether archaeological resources may be present. Archival research shall include a records search of the Northwest Information Center (NWIC) no more than two years old and a Sacred Lands File search with the NAHC. The Phase I technical report documenting the study shall include recommendations that shall be implemented prior to and/or during			0	
Qualification Standards (PQS) for archaeology (National Park Service 1983). The Phase I cultural resources study shall include a pedestrian survey of the project site when appropriate and sufficient background research and field sampling to determine whether archaeological resources may be present. Archival research shall include a records search of the Northwest Information Center (NWIC) no more than two years old and a Sacred Lands File search with the NAHC. The Phase I technical report documenting the study shall include recommendations that shall be implemented prior to and/or duringpermits.Community Development Department		0		
The Phase I cultural resources study shall include a pedestrian survey of the project site when appropriate and sufficient background research and field sampling to determine whether archaeological resources may be present. Archival research shall include a records search of the Northwest Information Center (NWIC) no more than two years old and a Sacred Lands File search with the NAHC. The Phase I technical report documenting the study shall include recommendations that shall be implemented prior to and/or during				
project site when appropriate and sufficient background research and field sampling to determine whether archaeological resources may be present. Archival research shall include a records search of the Northwest Information Center (NWIC) no more than two years old and a Sacred Lands File search with the NAHC. The Phase I technical report documenting the study shall include recommendations that shall be implemented prior to and/or during		pormior		
sampling to determine whether archaeological resources may be present. Archival research shall include a records search of the Northwest Information Center (NWIC) no more than two years old and a Sacred Lands File search with the NAHC. The Phase I technical report documenting the study shall include recommendations that shall be implemented prior to and/or during			•	
Archival research shall include a records search of the Northwest Information Center (NWIC) no more than two years old and a Sacred Lands File search with the NAHC. The Phase I technical report documenting the study shall include recommendations that shall be implemented prior to and/or during				
Center (NWIC) no more than two years old and a Sacred Lands File search with the NAHC. The Phase I technical report documenting the study shall include recommendations that shall be implemented prior to and/or during				
with the NAHC. The Phase I technical report documenting the study shall include recommendations that shall be implemented prior to and/or during				
include recommendations that shall be implemented prior to and/or during				
	construction to avoid or reduce impacts to archaeological resources.			
Recommendations may include, but would not be limited to, archaeological	Recommendations may include, but would not be limited to, archaeological			

construction monitoring, sensitivity training, or additional testing and mitigation (outlined in Mitigation Measures CUL-3 through CUL-7). The report shall be submitted to the City for review and approval prior to the issuance of any grading or construction permits. The City shall include recommendations in the Phase I technical report as Conditions of Approval to be implemented throughout all ground disturbance activities. The final report shall be submitted to the NWIC.			
Mitigation Measure CUL-3 Extended Phase I Testing If recommended by the Phase I study for each individual site (Mitigation Measure CUL-2), the project applicant shall retain a qualified archaeologist to conduct an Extended Phase I (XPI) study to determine the presence/absence and extent of archaeological resources on the project site. XPI testing shall include a series of shovel test pits and/or hand augured units and/or mechanical trenching to establish the boundaries of archaeological site(s) on the project site. If the boundaries of the archaeological site are already well understood from previous archaeological work, an XPI will not be required. All archaeological excavation shall be conducted by a qualified archaeologist(s) under the direction of a principal investigator meeting the SOI's PQS for archaeology (National Park Service 1983). If an XPI report is prepared, it shall be submitted to the City for review and approval prior to the issuance of a grading or construction permit. Recommendations therein shall be implemented for all ground disturbance activities. Recommendations may include, but would not be limited to, site avoidance, Phase II Site Evaluation, Cultural Resources Monitoring, and/or measures for unanticipated discoveries (outlined in Mitigation Measures CUL-4, CUL-5, CUL-7, and CUL-8). The final report shall be submitted to the NWIC.	Prior to the issuance of a grading or construction permit.	Development and Engineering Services Department – Community Development Department	
Mitigation Measure CUL-4 Archaeological Site Avoidance Any identified archaeological sites (determined after implementing Mitigation Measures CUL-2 and/or CUL-3) or archaeological resources encountered during ground-disturbing activities shall be avoided by project-related construction activities, where feasible. A barrier (temporary fencing) and flagging shall be placed between the work location and any resources within 60 feet of a work location to minimize the potential for inadvertent impacts. If	During construction	Development and Engineering Services Department – Community Development Department	

the resource cannot be avoided, Mitigation Measure CUL-5 shall be implemented.			
Mitigation Measure CUL-5 Phase II Site Evaluation	During	Development and	
If the results of any Phase I and/or XPI for each individual site (Mitigation	construction	Engineering	
Measures CUL-2 and/or CUL-3) indicate the presence of archaeological		Services	
resources that cannot be avoided by the project (Mitigation Measure CUL-4)		Department –	
and that have not been adequately evaluated for the NRHP or CRHR listing at		Community	
the project site, the qualified archaeologist shall conduct a Phase II		Development	
investigation to determine if intact deposits remain and if they may be eligible		Department	
for the CRHR or qualify as unique archaeological resources. If the			
archaeological resource(s) of concern are Native American in origin, the			
qualified archaeologist shall confer with the City and local California Native			
American tribe(s).			
A Phase I evaluation shall include any necessary archival research to identify			
significant historical associations and mapping of surface artifacts, collection of			
functionally or temporally diagnostic tools and debris, and excavation of a			
sample of the cultural deposit. The sample excavation would be carried out to			
characterize the nature of the site(s), define the artifact and feature contents,			
determine horizontal and vertical boundaries, and retrieve representative			
samples of artifacts and other remains.			
If the archaeologist and, if applicable, a Native American monitor or other			
interested tribal representative determine it is appropriate, cultural materials			
collected from the site shall be processed and analyzed in a laboratory			
according to standard archaeological procedures. The age of the materials			
shall be determined using radiocarbon dating and/or other appropriate			
procedures; lithic artifacts, faunal remains, and other cultural materials shall be			
identified and analyzed according to current professional standards. The			
significance of the site(s) shall be evaluated according to the criteria of the			
CRHR and if applicable, NRHP. The results of the investigations shall be			
presented in a technical report following the standards of the California Office			
of Historic Preservation publication "Archaeological Resource Management Reports: Recommended Content and Format (1990 or latest edition)."			
Recommendations in the Phase II report shall be implemented for all ground			

disturbance activities. Recommendations may include, but would not be limited to, Phase III Data Recovery, Cultural Resources Monitoring, and/or measures for unanticipated discoveries (outlined in Mitigation Measures CUL-6 through CUL-8). The report shall be submitted to the City for review and approval prior to the issuance of any grading or construction permits. The final report shall be submitted to the NWIC.			
Mitigation Measure CUL-6 Phase III Data Recovery Should the results of the Phase II site evaluation for each individual site (Mitigation Measure CUL-5) yield resources that meet CRHR significance standards and if the resource cannot be avoided by project construction in accordance with CUL-4, the project applicant shall ensure that all feasible recommendations for mitigation of archaeological impacts are incorporated into the final design and approved by the City prior to construction. Any necessary Phase III data recovery excavation, conducted to exhaust the data potential of significant archaeological sites, shall be carried out by a qualified archaeologist meeting the SOI's PQS for archeology (National Park Service 1983). Data recovery shall be conducted in accordance with a research design reviewed and approved by the City, prepared in advance of fieldwork, and using the appropriate archaeological field and laboratory methods consistent with the California Office of Historic Preservation Planning Bulletin 5 (1991), Guidelines for Archaeological Research Design, or the latest edition thereof. If the archaeological resource(s) of concern are Native American in origin, the qualified archaeologist shall confer with the City and local California Native American tribe(s). As applicable, the final Phase III Data Recovery reports shall be submitted to the City prior to issuance of any grading or construction permit. Recommendations contained therein shall be implemented throughout all ground disturbance activities. Recommendations may include, but would not be limited to, Cultural Resources Monitoring, and/or measures for unanticipated discoveries (outlined in Mitigation Measures CUL-7 and CUL-8). The final report shall be submitted to the NWIC upon completion.	During construction	Development and Engineering Services Department – Community Development Department	

			<u></u>
Mitigation Measure CUL-7 Cultural Resources Monitoring If recommended by Phase I, XPI, Phase II, or Phase III studies for each individual site (Mitigation Measures CUL-2, CUL-3, CUL-5, and/or CUL-6), the project applicant shall retain a qualified archaeologist to monitor project- related, ground-disturbing activities which may include the following but not	During construction	Development and Engineering Services Department – Community	· _
limited to: grubbing, vegetation removal, trenching, grading, and/or excavations. The archaeological monitor shall coordinate with any Native American monitor as required. Monitoring logs must be completed by the archaeologist daily. Cultural resources monitoring may be reduced for the project if the qualified archaeologist finds it appropriate to reduce the		Development Department	
monitoring efforts. Upon completion of ground disturbance for the project, a final report must be submitted to the City for review and approval documenting the monitoring efforts, cultural resources find, and resource disposition. The final report shall be submitted to the NWIC.			
Mitigation Measure CUL-8 Unanticipated Discovery of Cultural Resources If archaeological resources are encountered during ground-disturbing activities, work within 50 feet shall be halted and the project archaeologist meeting the SOI's PQS for archeology (National Park Service 1983) shall immediately to evaluate the find pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21083.2. If necessary, the evaluation may require preparation of a treatment plan and archaeological testing for CRHR eligibility. If the discovery proves to be significant under CEQA and cannot be avoided by the project, additional work may be warranted, such as data recovery excavation, to mitigate any significant impacts to significant resources. If the resource is of Native American origin, implementation of Mitigation Measures TCR-1 may be required. Any reports required to document and/or evaluate unanticipated discoveries shall be submitted to the City for review and approval and submitted to the NWIC after completion. Recommendations contained therein shall be implemented throughout the remainder of ground disturbance activities.	During construction	Development and Engineering Services Department – Community Development Department	
Greenhouse Gas Emissions			

			EXHIBI	
Mitigation Measure GHG-1: Future development shall install EV charging infrastructure according to the most ambitious voluntary standard in the California Green Building Standards Code at the time of project approval. Mitigation Measure GHG-2: Future development shall provide no more parking spaces than the off-street parking requirements established in the City of Salinas Municipal Code. Alternatively, multi-family residential development can choose to unbundle parking costs with costs to rent or own a residential unit instead of meeting the maximum off-parking requirement.	Prior to permit approval. Prior to permit approval.	Development and Engineering Services Department – Community Development Development and Engineering Services Department – Community Development Development Department		
Noise		•	•	
 Mitigation Measure NOI-1: Prior to ground disturbing activities, the City of Salinas shall ensure the following with the Project proponent: Construction equipment, fixed of mobile, shall be outfitted with properly operating and maintained mufflers. Construction noise reduction methods such as shutting off idling equipment, installing temporary acoustic barriers around stationary construction noise sources, maximizing the distance between construction equipment staging areas and occupied residential areas, and using electric air compressors and similar power tools rather than diesel equipment shall be used. During construction, stationary construction equipment shall be located so that emitted noise is directed away from or shielded from sensitive noise receivers. 	During construction	Development and Engineering Services Department – Community Development Department.		
Mitigation Measure NOI-2: The use of heavy construction equipment within 25 feet of existing structures shall be prohibited.	During construction	Development and Engineering Services Department –		

		Community	
		Development	
		Department.	
Transportation			
Mitigation Measure TRANS-1: To maintain safety standards at all intersections	Prior to	Development and	
and roadway segments pursuant to implementation actions identified in the	permit	Engineering	
Vision Zero Action Plan, a traffic impact study shall be required for all	approval.	Services	
development projects anticipated to generate 110 or more new daily vehicle	approval	Department –	
trips within the Project Area, unless not required by the City. Depending on the		Traffic Engineering	
results of this study, future developments may be required to construct or		and Plan Check	
contribute to street safety improvements to meet the demand generated by the		Services	
project. Improvements shall be in accordance with the City of Salinas' Vision		JEI VILES	
Zero Action Plan (i.e. pedestrian-activated crosswalk warning beacon, high			
visibility crosswalks, pedestrian hybrid beacon, reduced parking at intersection,			
intersection control, raised median and street trees, protected bike lanes, and			
lane reduction). These improvements shall be required as conditions of			
, , , , ,			
approval.			
Tribal Cultural Resources			
Mitigation Measure TCR-1 Inadvertent Discoveries During Construction	During	Development and	
In the event that cultural resources of Native American origin are identified	construction	Engineering	
during grading or construction, all earth disturbing work within the vicinity of the	•	Services	
find shall be temporarily suspended or redirected until a qualified archaeologist		Department –	
has evaluated the nature and significance of the find; an appropriate Native		Community	
American representative, based on the nature of the find, is consulted; and		Development	
mitigation measures are put in place for the disposition and protection of any		Department.	
find pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21083.2. If the City, in			
consultation with local Native Americans, determines that the resource is a			
tribal cultural resource and thus significant under CEQA, a mitigation plan shall			
be prepared and implemented in accordance with state guidelines and in			
consultation with local Native American group(s) prior to continuation of any			
earth disturbing work within the vicinity of the find. The plan shall include			
avoidance of the resource or, if avoidance of the resource is infeasible, shall			
outline the appropriate treatment of the resource in coordination with the			

appropriate local Native American tribal representative and, if applicable, a qualified archaeologist. Examples of appropriate mitigation for tribal cultural resources include, but are not limited to, protecting the cultural character and integrity of the resource, protecting traditional use of the resource, protecting the confidentiality of the resource, or heritage recovery.			
Utilities and Service Systems			
Mitigation Measure UTL-1: New development generating wastewater flows that results in a downstream exceedance of 0.75 d/D shall construct system upgrades for those found to be insufficient in capacity per the requirements of the Public Works Department. The flow shall be verified through a sewer modeling program during the planning and design phase, prior to entitlement approval. The model shall evaluate pipeline capacity, flow velocity, and maximum d/D ratio for normal, dry, and wet weather conditions.	Prior to permit approval.	Development and Engineering Services Department	

FOODS CO SHOPPING CENTER MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM APNs 003-894-005-000, 003-894-006-000, 003-891-014-000, 003-891-015-000, 003-891-016-000, 003-891-017-000, 003-891-018-000, and 003-891-019-000 (GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT 2022-002 AND REZONE 2022-002)

Mitigation Measures	Timing of	Responsible for	Verifica Comp	ation of letion
	Verification	Verification	Date	Initials
Air Quality	•			
 Mitigation Measure AQ-1: Construction Air Quality. During construction, the applicant or successor in interest for each individual site shall: Limit grading to 8.1 acres per day, and limit grading and excavation to 2.2 acres per day. Provide watering trucks on site to maintain adequate soil moisture during grading and water graded/excavated areas at least twice daily, thus minimizing dust generation. In addition, the water trucks shall be used to wash down trucks and tractors, including earth loads, prior to entering public roadways. Prohibit all grading activities whenever wind speeds exceed 15 miles per hour (mph). Maintain a minimum of two feet for freeboard for all haul trucks. Cover all trucks hauling dirt, sand, or loose materials. Cover inactive storage piles. Enforce a 15-mph speed limit for all unpaved surfaces when visible dust clouds are formed by vehicle movement. Place gravel base near site entrances to clean tires prior to entering public roadways. 	During construction	Development and Engineering Services Department – Plan Check Service		

			EXHIBI	
 Mitigation Measure AQ-2: MBARD Health Risk Consultation. Prior to issuance of any grading permit and/or building permit for each individual site, the applicant or successor in interest shall consult with MBARD regarding the potential need for a diesel health risk assessment (HRA). If required, the applicant or successor in interest shall prepare a diesel HRA and shall implement the measures contained therein to ensure that project-specific emissions are below MBARD's established health risk thresholds: hazard index greater than 1 for acute or chronic impacts, and cancer risk greater than 10 in one million for long-term operational emissions or 1 per 100,000 population for temporary construction-related emissions. Measures may include, but would not be limited to: Use of diesel-fueled equipment equipped with Tier 4 (or Tier 3 if the Tier 4 standard is unavailable) USEPA engine standards. The USEPA estimates that Tier 4 engines would reduce PM emissions by approximately 90 percent compared to the USEPA Tier 2 standards (USEPA 2008). Retrofit off-road diesel equipment with Verified Diesel Emissions Control Strategy (VDECS) like Diesel Particulate Filters (DPF). Particulate Matter level 3 VDECS can provide at least an 85 percent reduction (CARB 2015). Use alternatively fueled (e.g., natural gas) diesel construction equipment, including all off-road and portable diesel-powered equipment. Use electrically driven equipment that is not powered by a portable generator set. Limit the hours of operation for heavy-duty equipment and/or limit the quantity of heavy-duty equipment operating at the same time. 	Prior to issuance of any grading permit and/or building permit; during construction	Development and Engineering Services Department – Plan Check Services; MBARD		
Mitigation Measure BIO-1: Nesting Bird Surveys and Avoidance. The Project shall implement the following measures to mitigate for loss of nesting habitat of the Project in compliance with the federal Migratory Bird Treaty Act and relevant Fish and Game Codes:	Not more than 14 days prior to vegetation clearance.	Development and Engineering Services Department – Community		

			EXHIBI	
 Avoidance. In order to avoid impacts to nesting raptors and migratory birds, the Project will be constructed, if feasible, from September 16th and January 31st, which is outside the avian nesting season. Preconstruction Surveys. If Project activities must occur during the nesting season (February 1-September 15), a qualified biologist will 		Development Department		
conduct preconstruction surveys for active raptor and migratory bird nests within 10 days prior to the start of these activities. The survey will include the proposed work area(s) and surrounding lands within 500 feet, where accessible, for all nesting raptors and migratory birds. If no active nests are found within the survey area, no further mitigation is required.				
 Establish Buffers. Should any active nests be discovered near proposed work areas, no disturbance buffers of 250 feet around active nests of non-listed bird species and 500 feet around active nests of non-listed raptors will be established. If work needs to occur within these no disturbance buffers, a qualified biologist will monitor the nest daily for one 				
week, and thereafter once a week, throughout the duration of construction activity. Should the nature of construction activity significantly change, such that a higher level of disturbance will be generated, monitoring will occur daily for one week and then resume the once-a-week regime. If, at any time, the biologist determines that				
construction activity may be compromising nesting success, construction activity within the designated buffer will be altered or suspended until the biologist determines that the nest site is no longer susceptible to deleterious disturbance.				
Cultural Resources				
CUL-1 Historical Resources Identification and Treatment Plan Prior to permit approval for development on the Project site, a historical	Prior to permit	Development and Engineering		
resources evaluation shall be completed for that individual site to confirm if	approval.	Services		
existing buildings and/or structures withing these sites qualify as historical		Department –		
resources as defined by Section 15064.5(a) of CEQA Guidelines. The		Community		
evaluation shall be prepared by a qualified architectural historian or historian		Development		
who meets the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualifications Standards		Department		
(PQS) in architectural history or history. The qualified architectural historian or				

historian shall conduct an intensive-level evaluation in accordance with the	
guidelines and best practices promulgated by the State Office of Historic	
Preservation to identify any potential historical resources within the proposed	
project area. All properties 45 years of age or older shall be evaluated within	
their historic context and documented in a report meeting the State Office of	
Historic Preservation guidelines. All evaluated properties shall be documented	
on Department of Parks and Recreation Series 523 Forms. The report shall be	
submitted to the City for review and concurrence.	
Any relocation, rehabilitation, or alteration of the resource shall be	
implemented consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the	
Treatments of Historic Properties (Standards). In accordance with CEQA, a	
project that has been determined to conform with the Standards generally	
would not cause a significant adverse direct or indirect impact to historical	
resources (14 CCR Section 15126.4[b][1]). Application of the Standards shall	
be overseen by a qualified architectural historian or historic architect meeting	
the PQS. In conjunction with any development application that may affect the	
historical resource, a report identifying and specifying the treatment of	
character-defining features and construction activities shall be provided to the	
City for review and concurrence, in addition to the historical resources	
evaluation.	
If significant historical resources are identified on a development site and	
compliance with the Standards and or avoidance is not feasible, the applicant	
or developer shall provide a report explaining why compliance with the	
Standards and or avoidance is not feasible for the City's review and approval.	
Site-specific mitigation measures shall be established and undertaken,	
including, but not limited to, documentation of the historical resource in the	
form of a Historic American Buildings Survey-Like report. The report shall be	
commissioned by the project applicant or their consultant to comply with the	
Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Architectural and Engineering	
Documentation and shall generally follow the Historic American Buildings	
Survey Level III requirements, including digital photographic recordation,	
detailed historic narrative report, and compilation of historic research. The	
documentation shall be completed by a qualified architectural historian or	

historian who meets the PQS and submitted to the City prior to issuance of any			
permits for demolition or alteration of the historical resource.			
Mitigation Measure CUL-2 Phase I Cultural Resources Study	Prior to	Development and	
Prior to the issuance of any grading or construction permits for each individual	issuance of	Engineering	
site, a Phase I cultural resources study shall be performed by a qualified	grading or	Services	
professional meeting the Secretary of the Interior's (SOI's) Professional	construction	Department –	
Qualification Standards (PQS) for archaeology (National Park Service 1983).	permits.	Community	
The Phase I cultural resources study shall include a pedestrian survey of the		Development	
project site when appropriate and sufficient background research and field		Department	
sampling to determine whether archaeological resources may be present.			
Archival research shall include a records search of the Northwest Information			
Center (NWIC) no more than two years old and a Sacred Lands File search			
with the NAHC. The Phase I technical report documenting the study shall			
include recommendations that shall be implemented prior to and/or during			
construction to avoid or reduce impacts to archaeological resources.			
Recommendations may include, but would not be limited to, archaeological			
construction monitoring, sensitivity training, or additional testing and mitigation			
(outlined in Mitigation Measures CUL-3 through CUL-7). The report shall be			
submitted to the City for review and approval prior to the issuance of any			
grading or construction permits. The City shall include recommendations in the			
Phase I technical report as Conditions of Approval to be implemented			
throughout all ground disturbance activities. The final report shall be submitted			
to the NWIC.			
Mitigation Measure CUL-3 Extended Phase I Testing	Prior to the	Development and	
If recommended by the Phase I study for each individual site (Mitigation	issuance of	Engineering	
Measure CUL-2), the project applicant shall retain a qualified archaeologist to	a grading or	Services	
conduct an Extended Phase I (XPI) study to determine the presence/absence	construction	Department –	
and extent of archaeological resources on the project site. XPI testing shall	permit.	Community	
include a series of shovel test pits and/or hand augured units and/or		Development	
mechanical trenching to establish the boundaries of archaeological site(s) on		Department	
the project site. If the boundaries of the archaeological site are already well			
understood from previous archaeological work, an XPI will not be required. All			
archaeological excavation shall be conducted by a qualified archaeologist(s)			

under the direction of a principal investigator meeting the SOI's PQS for archaeology (National Park Service 1983). If an XPI report is prepared, it shall be submitted to the City for review and approval prior to the issuance of a grading or construction permit. Recommendations therein shall be implemented for all ground disturbance activities. Recommendations may include, but would not be limited to, site avoidance, Phase II Site Evaluation, Cultural Resources Monitoring, and/or measures for unanticipated discoveries (outlined in Mitigation Measures CUL-4, CUL-5, CUL-7, and CUL-8). The final report shall be submitted to the NWIC.			
Mitigation Measure CUL-4 Archaeological Site Avoidance Any identified archaeological sites (determined after implementing Mitigation Measures CUL-2 and/or CUL-3) or archaeological resources encountered during ground-disturbing activities shall be avoided by project-related construction activities, where feasible. A barrier (temporary fencing) and flagging shall be placed between the work location and any resources within 60 feet of a work location to minimize the potential for inadvertent impacts. If the resource cannot be avoided, Mitigation Measure CUL-5 shall be implemented.	During construction	Development and Engineering Services Department – Community Development Department	
Mitigation Measure CUL-5 Phase II Site Evaluation If the results of any Phase I and/or XPI for each individual site (Mitigation Measures CUL-2 and/or CUL-3) indicate the presence of archaeological resources that cannot be avoided by the project (Mitigation Measure CUL-4) and that have not been adequately evaluated for the NRHP or CRHR listing at the project site, the qualified archaeologist shall conduct a Phase II investigation to determine if intact deposits remain and if they may be eligible for the CRHR or qualify as unique archaeological resources. If the archaeological resource(s) of concern are Native American in origin, the qualified archaeologist shall confer with the City and local California Native American tribe(s). A Phase I evaluation shall include any necessary archival research to identify significant historical associations and mapping of surface artifacts, collection of functionally or temporally diagnostic tools and debris, and excavation of a sample of the cultural deposit. The sample excavation would be carried out to	During construction	Development and Engineering Services Department – Community Development Department	

characterize the nature of the site(s), define the artifact and feature contents, determine horizontal and vertical boundaries, and retrieve representative samples of artifacts and other remains. If the archaeologist and, if applicable, a Native American monitor or other interested tribal representative determine it is appropriate, cultural materials collected from the site shall be processed and analyzed in a laboratory according to standard archaeological procedures. The age of the materials shall be determined using radiocarbon dating and/or other appropriate procedures; lithic artifacts, faunal remains, and other cultural materials shall be identified and analyzed according to current professional standards. The significance of the site(s) shall be evaluated according to the criteria of the CRHR and if applicable, NRHP. The results of the investigations shall be presented in a technical report following the standards of the California Office of Historic Preservation publication "Archaeological Resource Management Reports: Recommended Content and Format (1990 or latest edition)." Recommendations in the Phase II report shall be implemented for all ground disturbance activities. Recommendations may include, but would not be limited to, Phase III Data Recovery, Cultural Resources Monitoring, and/or measures for unanticipated discoveries (outlined in Mitigation Measures CUL-6 through CUL-8). The report shall be submitted to the City for review and approval prior to the issuance of any grading or construction permits. The final report shall be submitted to the NWIC.			
Mitigation Measure CUL-6 Phase III Data Recovery Should the results of the Phase II site evaluation for each individual site (Mitigation Measure CUL-5) yield resources that meet CRHR significance standards and if the resource cannot be avoided by project construction in accordance with CUL-4, the project applicant shall ensure that all feasible recommendations for mitigation of archaeological impacts are incorporated into the final design and approved by the City prior to construction. Any necessary Phase III data recovery excavation, conducted to exhaust the data potential of significant archaeological sites, shall be carried out by a qualified archaeologist meeting the SOI's PQS for archeology (National Park Service 1983). Data recovery shall be conducted in accordance with a research design reviewed	During construction	Development and Engineering Services Department – Community Development Department	

			· •
and approved by the City, prepared in advance of fieldwork, and using the appropriate archaeological field and laboratory methods consistent with the California Office of Historic Preservation Planning Bulletin 5 (1991), Guidelines for Archaeological Research Design, or the latest edition thereof. If the archaeological resource(s) of concern are Native American in origin, the qualified archaeologist shall confer with the City and local California Native American tribe(s). As applicable, the final Phase III Data Recovery reports shall be submitted to the City prior to issuance of any grading or construction permit. Recommendations contained therein shall be implemented throughout all ground disturbance activities. Recommendations may include, but would not be limited to, Cultural Resources Monitoring, and/or measures for unanticipated discoveries (outlined in Mitigation Measures CUL-7 and CUL-8). The final report shall be submitted to the NWIC upon completion. Mitigation Measure CUL-7 Cultural Resources Monitoring If recommended by Phase I, XPI, Phase II, or Phase III studies for each individual site (Mitigation Measures CUL-2, CUL-3, and/or CUL-6), the project applicant shall retain a qualified archaeologist to monitor project-related, ground-disturbing activities which may include the following but not limited to: grubbing, vegetation removal, trenching, grading, and/or excavations. The archaeological monitor shall coordinate with any Native American monitor as required. Monitoring logs must be completed by the archaeologist daily. Cultural resources monitoring may be reduced for the project if the qualified archaeologist finds it appropriate to reduce the monitoring efforts. Upon completion of ground disturbance for the project, a final report shall be submitted to the City for review and approval documenting the monitoring efforts, cultural resources find, and resource disposition. The final report shall be submitted to the NWIC.	During construction	Development and Engineering Services Department – Community Development Department	
Mitigation Measure CUL-8 Unanticipated Discovery of Cultural Resources If archaeological resources are encountered during ground-disturbing activities, work within 50 feet shall be halted and the project archaeologist meeting the SOI's PQS for archeology (National Park Service 1983) shall immediately to evaluate the find pursuant to Public Resources Code Section	During construction	Development and Engineering Services Department – Community	

21083.2. If necessary, the evaluation may require preparation of a treatment plan and archaeological testing for CRHR eligibility. If the discovery proves to be significant under CEQA and cannot be avoided by the project, additional work may be warranted, such as data recovery excavation, to mitigate any significant impacts to significant resources. If the resource is of Native American origin, implementation of Mitigation Measures TCR-1 may be required. Any reports required to document and/or evaluate unanticipated discoveries shall be submitted to the City for review and approval and submitted to the NWIC after completion. Recommendations contained therein shall be implemented throughout the remainder of ground disturbance activities.		Development Department	
Greenhouse Gas Emissions			
Mitigation Measure GHG-1: Future development shall install EV charging infrastructure according to the most ambitious voluntary standard in the California Green Building Standards Code at the time of project approval.	Prior to permit approval.	Development and Engineering Services Department – Community Development Department	
Mitigation Measure GHG-2: Future development shall provide no more parking spaces than the off-street parking requirements established in the City of Salinas Municipal Code. Alternatively, multi-family residential development can choose to unbundle parking costs with costs to rent or own a residential unit instead of meeting the maximum off-parking requirement.	Prior to permit approval.	Development and Engineering Services Department – Community Development Department	
Hydrology and Water Quality	1		
Mitigation Measure HYD-1: Future Development projects on the subject site that would demand an amount of water equivalent to, or greater than, the amount of water required by a 500-dwelling unit project are required to prepare a Water Supply Assessment.	Prior to permit approval.	Development and Engineering Services Department – Community	

		Development		
		Department		
Noise		· - · · ·		
 Mitigation Measure NOI-1: Prior to ground disturbing activities, the City of Salinas shall ensure the following with the Project proponent: Construction equipment, fixed of mobile, shall be outfitted with properly operating and maintained mufflers. Construction noise reduction methods such as shutting off idling equipment, installing temporary acoustic barriers around stationary construction noise sources, maximizing the distance between construction equipment staging areas and occupied residential areas, and using electric air compressors and similar power tools rather than diesel equipment shall be used. During construction, stationary construction equipment shall be located so that emitted noise is directed away from or shielded from sensitive noise receivers. 	During construction	Development and Engineering Services Department – Community Development Department.		
Mitigation Measure NOI-2: The use of heavy construction equipment within 25 feet of existing structures shall be prohibited.	During construction	Development and Engineering Services Department – Community Development Department.		
Transportation	1			
Mitigation Measure TRANS-1: To maintain safety standards at all intersections and roadway segments pursuant to implementation actions identified in the Vision Zero Action Plan, a traffic impact study shall be required for all development projects anticipated to generate 110 or more new daily vehicle trips within the Project Area, unless not required by the City. Depending on the results of this study, future developments may be required to construct or contribute to street safety improvements to meet the demand generated by the project. Improvements shall be in accordance with the City of Salinas' Vision	Prior to permit approval.	Development and Engineering Services Department – Traffic Engineering and Plan Check Services		

			EXHIBI	ТЕ
Zero Action Plan (i.e. pedestrian-activated crosswalk warning beacon, high visibility crosswalks, pedestrian hybrid beacon, reduced parking at intersection, intersection control, raised median and street trees, protected bike lanes, and lane reduction). These improvements shall be required as conditions of approval. Tribal Cultural Resources Mitigation Measure TCR-1 Inadvertent Discoveries During Construction In the event that cultural resources of Native American origin are identified during grading or construction, all earth disturbing work within the vicinity of the find shall be temporarily suspended or redirected until a qualified archaeologist has evaluated the nature and significance of the find; an appropriate Native American representative, based on the nature of the find, is consulted; and mitigation measures are put in place for the disposition and protection of any find pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21083.2. If the City, in consultation with local Native Americans, determines that the resource is a tribal cultural resource and thus significant under CEQA, a mitigation plan shall	During construction	Development and Engineering Services Department – Community Development Department.	EXHIBI	TE
be prepared and implemented in accordance with state guidelines and in consultation with local Native American group(s) prior to continuation of any earth disturbing work within the vicinity of the find. The plan shall include avoidance of the resource or, if avoidance of the resource is infeasible, shall outline the appropriate treatment of the resource in coordination with the appropriate local Native American tribal representative and, if applicable, a qualified archaeologist. Examples of appropriate mitigation for tribal cultural resources include, but are not limited to, protecting the cultural character and integrity of the resource, protecting traditional use of the resource, protecting the confidentiality of the resource, or heritage recovery. Utilities and Service Systems				
Mitigation Measure UTL-1: New development generating wastewater flows that results in a downstream exceedance of 0.75 d/D shall construct system upgrades for those found to be insufficient in capacity per the requirements of the Public Works Department. The flow shall be verified through a sewer modeling program during the planning and design phase, prior to entitlement	Prior to permit approval.	Development and Engineering Services Department		

approval. The model shall evaluate pipeline capacity, flow velocity, and		
maximum d/D ratio for normal, dry, and wet weather conditions.		

LAUREL WEST SHOPPING CENTER MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM APNs 261-711-037-000, 261-711-070-000, 261-711-065-000, 261-711-024-000, 261-711-017-000, and 261-711-025-000 (GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT 2022-002 AND REZONE 2022-002)

Mitigation Measures	Timing of Verification	Responsible for Verification	Verifica Comp	
	venilcation	venincation	Date	Initials
Air Quality		•		
 Mitigation Measure AQ-1: Construction Air Quality. During construction, the applicant or successor in interest for each individual site shall: Limit grading to 8.1 acres per day, and limit grading and excavation to 2.2 acres per day. Provide watering trucks on site to maintain adequate soil moisture during grading and water graded/excavated areas at least twice daily, thus minimizing dust generation. In addition, the 		Development and Engineering Services Department – Plan Check Service		

 water trucks shall be used to wash down trucks and tractors, including earth loads, prior to entering public roadways. Prohibit all grading activities whenever wind speeds exceed 15 miles per hour (mph). Maintain a minimum of two feet for freeboard for all haul trucks. Cover all trucks hauling dirt, sand, or loose materials. Cover inactive storage piles. Enforce a 15-mph speed limit for all unpaved surfaces when visible dust clouds are formed by vehicle movement. Place gravel base near site entrances to clean tires prior to entering public roadways. 	Prior to	Development and	
 of any grading permit and/or building permit for each individual site, the applicant or successor in interest shall consult with MBARD regarding the potential need for a diesel health risk assessment (HRA). If required, the applicant or successor in interest shall prepare a diesel HRA and shall implement the measures contained therein to ensure that project-specific emissions are below MBARD's established health risk thresholds: hazard index greater than 1 for acute or chronic impacts, and cancer risk greater than 10 in one million for long-term operational emissions or 1 per 100,000 population for temporary construction-related emissions. Measures may include, but would not be limited to: Use of diesel-fueled equipment equipped with Tier 4 (or Tier 3 if the Tier 4 standard is unavailable) USEPA engine standards. The USEPA estimates that Tier 4 engines would reduce PM emissions by approximately 90 percent compared to the USEPA Tier 2 standards (USEPA 2008). Retrofit off-road diesel equipment with Verified Diesel Emissions Control Strategy (VDECS) like Diesel Particulate Filters (DPF). Particulate Matter level 3 VDECS can provide at least an 85 percent reduction (CARB 2015). 	issuance of any grading permit and/or building permit; during construction	Engineering Services Department – Plan Check Services; MBARD	

EXHIBIT E • Use alternatively fueled (e.g., natural gas) diesel construction equipment, including all off-road and portable diesel-powered equipment. • Use electrically driven equipment that is not powered by a portable generator set. • Limit the hours of operation for heavy-duty equipment and/or limit the quantity of heavy-duty equipment operating at the same time. **Biological Resources** Mitigation Measure BIO-1: Nesting Bird Surveys and Avoidance. The Project Development and Not more shall implement the following measures to mitigate for loss of nesting habitat of than 14 Engineering the Project in compliance with the federal Migratory Bird Treaty Act and Services days prior to relevant Fish and Game Codes: vegetation Department – • Avoidance. In order to avoid impacts to nesting raptors and migratory clearance. Community Development birds, the Project will be constructed, if feasible, from September 16th Department and January 31st, which is outside the avian nesting season. Preconstruction Surveys. If Project activities must occur during the nesting season (February 1-September 15), a gualified biologist will conduct preconstruction surveys for active raptor and migratory bird nests within 10 days prior to the start of these activities. The survey will include the proposed work area(s) and surrounding lands within 500 feet. where accessible, for all nesting raptors and migratory birds. If no active nests are found within the survey area, no further mitigation is required. Establish Buffers. Should any active nests be discovered near proposed work areas, no disturbance buffers of 250 feet around active nests of non-listed bird species and 500 feet around active nests of non-listed raptors will be established. If work needs to occur within these no disturbance buffers, a qualified biologist will monitor the nest daily for one week, and thereafter once a week, throughout the duration of construction activity. Should the nature of construction activity significantly change, such that a higher level of disturbance will be generated, monitoring will occur daily for one week and then resume the once-a-week regime. If, at any time, the biologist determines that construction activity may be compromising nesting success, construction

			EXHIBI	ТΕ
activity within the designated buffer will be altered or suspended until the				
biologist determines that the nest site is no longer susceptible to				
deleterious disturbance. Cultural Resources				
CUL-1 Historical Resources Identification and Treatment Plan Prior to permit approval for development on the Project site, a historical	Prior to permit	Development and Engineering		
Prior to permit approval for development on the Project site, a historical resources evaluation shall be completed for that individual site to confirm if existing buildings and/or structures withing these sites qualify as historical resources as defined by Section 15064.5(a) of CEQA Guidelines. The evaluation shall be prepared by a qualified architectural historian or historian who meets the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualifications Standards (PQS) in architectural history or history. The qualified architectural historian or historian shall conduct an intensive-level evaluation in accordance with the guidelines and best practices promulgated by the State Office of Historic Preservation to identify any potential historical resources within the proposed project area. All properties 45 years of age or older shall be evaluated within their historic context and documented in a report meeting the State Office of Historic Preservation guidelines. All evaluated properties shall be documented on Department of Parks and Recreation Series 523 Forms. The report shall be submitted to the City for review and concurrence. Any relocation, rehabilitation, or alteration of the resource shall be implemented consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatments of Historic Properties (Standards). In accordance with CEQA, a project that has been determined to conform with the Standards generally would not cause a significant adverse direct or indirect impact to historical resources (14 CCR Section 15126.4[b][1]). Application of the Standards shall be overseen by a qualified architectural historian or historia and y and and y and evelopment application that may affect the		•		
historical resource, a report identifying and specifying the treatment of character-defining features and construction activities shall be provided to the City for review and concurrence, in addition to the historical resources evaluation.				

			· -
Phase I technical report as Conditions of Approval to be implemented throughout all ground disturbance activities. The final report shall be submitted to the NWIC.			
Mitigation Measure CUL-3 Extended Phase I Testing If recommended by the Phase I study for each individual site (Mitigation Measure CUL-2), the project applicant shall retain a qualified archaeologist to conduct an Extended Phase I (XPI) study to determine the presence/absence and extent of archaeological resources on the project site. XPI testing shall include a series of shovel test pits and/or hand augured units and/or mechanical trenching to establish the boundaries of archaeological site(s) on the project site. If the boundaries of the archaeological site are already well understood from previous archaeological work, an XPI will not be required. All archaeological excavation shall be conducted by a qualified archaeologist(s) under the direction of a principal investigator meeting the SOI's PQS for archaeology (National Park Service 1983). If an XPI report is prepared, it shall be submitted to the City for review and approval prior to the issuance of a grading or construction permit. Recommendations therein shall be implemented for all ground disturbance activities. Recommendations may include, but would not be limited to, site avoidance, Phase II Site Evaluation, Cultural Resources Monitoring, and/or measures for unanticipated discoveries (outlined in Mitigation Measures CUL-4, CUL-5, CUL-7, and CUL-8). The final report shall be submitted to the NWIC.	Prior to the issuance of a grading or construction permit.	Development and Engineering Services Department – Community Development Department	
Mitigation Measure CUL-4 Archaeological Site Avoidance Any identified archaeological sites (determined after implementing Mitigation Measures CUL-2 and/or CUL-3) or archaeological resources encountered during ground-disturbing activities shall be avoided by project-related construction activities, where feasible. A barrier (temporary fencing) and flagging shall be placed between the work location and any resources within 60 feet of a work location to minimize the potential for inadvertent impacts. If the resource cannot be avoided, Mitigation Measure CUL-5 shall be implemented.	During construction	Development and Engineering Services Department – Community Development Department	

			EXHIBI	
Mitigation Measure CUL-5 Phase II Site Evaluation	During	Development and		
If the results of any Phase I and/or XPI for each individual site (Mitigation	construction	Engineering		
Measures CUL-2 and/or CUL-3) indicate the presence of archaeological		Services		
resources that cannot be avoided by the project (Mitigation Measure CUL-4)		Department –		
and that have not been adequately evaluated for the NRHP or CRHR listing at		Community		
the project site, the qualified archaeologist shall conduct a Phase II		Development		
investigation to determine if intact deposits remain and if they may be eligible		Department		
for the CRHR or qualify as unique archaeological resources. If the				
archaeological resource(s) of concern are Native American in origin, the				
qualified archaeologist shall confer with the City and local California Native				
American tribe(s).				
A Phase I evaluation shall include any necessary archival research to identify				
significant historical associations and mapping of surface artifacts, collection of				
functionally or temporally diagnostic tools and debris, and excavation of a				
sample of the cultural deposit. The sample excavation would be carried out to				
characterize the nature of the site(s), define the artifact and feature contents,				
determine horizontal and vertical boundaries, and retrieve representative				
samples of artifacts and other remains.				
If the archaeologist and, if applicable, a Native American monitor or other				
interested tribal representative determine it is appropriate, cultural materials				
collected from the site shall be processed and analyzed in a laboratory				
according to standard archaeological procedures. The age of the materials				
shall be determined using radiocarbon dating and/or other appropriate				
procedures; lithic artifacts, faunal remains, and other cultural materials shall be				
identified and analyzed according to current professional standards. The				
significance of the site(s) shall be evaluated according to the criteria of the				
CRHR and if applicable, NRHP. The results of the investigations shall be				
presented in a technical report following the standards of the California Office				
of Historic Preservation publication "Archaeological Resource Management Reports: Recommended Content and Format (1990 or latest edition)."				
Recommendations in the Phase II report shall be implemented for all ground				
disturbance activities. Recommendations may include, but would not be limited				
to, Phase III Data Recovery, Cultural Resources Monitoring, and/or measures				
LIO, FILASE III DALA RECOVELY, CUITULAI RESOULCES MONITORING, AND/OF MEASURES				

for unanticipated discoveries (outlined in Mitigation Measures CUL-6 through CUL-8). The report shall be submitted to the City for review and approval prior to the issuance of any grading or construction permits. The final report shall be submitted to the NWIC. Mitigation Measure CUL-6 Phase III Data Recovery Should the results of the Phase II site evaluation for each individual site			
(Mitigation Measure CUL-5) yield resources that meet CRHR significance standards and if the resource cannot be avoided by project construction in accordance with CUL-4, the project applicant shall ensure that all feasible recommendations for mitigation of archaeological impacts are incorporated into the final design and approved by the City prior to construction. Any necessary Phase III data recovery excavation, conducted to exhaust the data potential of significant archaeological sites, shall be carried out by a qualified archaeologist meeting the SOI's PQS for archeology (National Park Service 1983). Data recovery shall be conducted in accordance with a research design reviewed and approved by the City, prepared in advance of fieldwork, and using the appropriate archaeological field and laboratory methods consistent with the California Office of Historic Preservation Planning Bulletin 5 (1991), Guidelines for Archaeological Research Design, or the latest edition thereof. If the archaeological resource(s) of concern are Native American in origin, the qualified archaeologist shall confer with the City and local California Native American tribe(s). As applicable, the final Phase III Data Recovery reports shall be submitted to the City prior to issuance of any grading or construction permit. Recommendations contained therein shall be implemented throughout all ground disturbance activities. Recommendations may include, but would not be limited to, Cultural Resources Monitoring, and/or measures for unanticipated discoveries (outlined in Mitigation Measures CUL-7 and CUL-8). The final report shall be submitted to the NWIC upon completion.	During construction	Development and Engineering Services Department – Community Development Department	
Mitigation Measure CUL-7 Cultural Resources Monitoring If recommended by Phase I, XPI, Phase II, or Phase III studies for each individual site (Mitigation Measures CUL-2, CUL-3, CUL-5, and/or CUL-6), the project applicant shall retain a gualified archaeologist to monitor project-	During construction	Development and Engineering Services Department –	

related, ground-disturbing activities which may include the following but not limited to: grubbing, vegetation removal, trenching, grading, and/or excavations. The archaeological monitor shall coordinate with any Native American monitor as required. Monitoring logs must be completed by the archaeologist daily. Cultural resources monitoring may be reduced for the project if the qualified archaeologist finds it appropriate to reduce the monitoring efforts. Upon completion of ground disturbance for the project, a final report must be submitted to the City for review and approval documenting the monitoring efforts, cultural resources find, and resource disposition. The final report shall be submitted to the NWIC. Mitigation Measure CUL-8 Unanticipated Discovery of Cultural Resources If archaeological resources are encountered during ground-disturbing activities, work within 50 feet shall be halted and the project archaeologist meeting the SOI's PQS for archeology (National Park Service 1983) shall immediately to evaluate the find pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21083.2. If necessary, the evaluation may require preparation of a treatment plan and archaeological testing for CRHR eligibility. If the discovery proves to be significant under CEQA and cannot be avoided by the project, additional work may be warranted, such as data recovery excavation, to mitigate any significant impacts to significant resources. If the resource is of Native American origin, implementation of Mitigation Measures TCR-1 may be required. Any reports required to document and/or evaluate unanticipated discoveries shall be submitted to the City for review and approval and submitted to the NWIC after completion. Recommendations contained therein shall be implemented throughout the remainder of ground disturbance activities.	During construction	Community Development Department Development and Engineering Services Department – Community Development Department	
Greenhouse Gas Emissions			
Mitigation Measure GHG-1: Future development shall install EV charging infrastructure according to the most ambitious voluntary standard in the California Green Building Standards Code at the time of project approval.	Prior to permit approval.	Development and Engineering Services Department – Community	

		Development Department	
Mitigation Measure GHG-2: Future development shall provide no more parking spaces than the off-street parking requirements established in the City of Salinas Municipal Code. Alternatively, multi-family residential development can choose to unbundle parking costs with costs to rent or own a residential unit instead of meeting the maximum off-parking requirement.	Prior to permit approval.	Development and Engineering Services Department – Community Development Department	
Hydrology and Water Quality			
Mitigation Measure HYD-1: Future Development projects on the subject site that would demand an amount of water equivalent to, or greater than, the amount of water required by a 500-dwelling unit project are required to prepare a Water Supply Assessment.	Prior to permit approval.	Development and Engineering Services Department – Community Development Department	
Noise			
 Mitigation Measure NOI-1: Prior to ground disturbing activities, the City of Salinas shall ensure the following with the Project proponent: Construction equipment, fixed of mobile, shall be outfitted with properly operating and maintained mufflers. Construction noise reduction methods such as shutting off idling equipment, installing temporary acoustic barriers around stationary construction noise sources, maximizing the distance between construction equipment staging areas and occupied residential areas, and using electric air compressors and similar power tools rather than diesel equipment shall be used. During construction, stationary construction equipment shall be located so that emitted noise is directed away from or shielded from sensitive noise receivers. 	During construction	Development and Engineering Services Department – Community Development Department.	

			ЕХПІВІ	
Mitigation Measure NOI-2: The use of heavy construction equipment within 25 feet of existing structures shall be prohibited.	During construction	Development and Engineering Services Department – Community Development Department.		
Transportation				
Mitigation Measure TRANS-1: To maintain safety standards at all intersections and roadway segments pursuant to implementation actions identified in the Vision Zero Action Plan, a traffic impact study shall be required for all development projects anticipated to generate 110 or more new daily vehicle trips within the Project Area, unless not required by the City. Depending on the results of this study, future developments may be required to construct or contribute to street safety improvements to meet the demand generated by the project. Improvements shall be in accordance with the City of Salinas' Vision Zero Action Plan (i.e. pedestrian-activated crosswalk warning beacon, high visibility crosswalks, pedestrian hybrid beacon, reduced parking at intersection, intersection control, raised median and street trees, protected bike lanes, and lane reduction). These improvements shall be required as conditions of approval.	Prior to permit approval.	Development and Engineering Services Department – Traffic Engineering and Plan Check Services		
Tribal Cultural Resources				
Mitigation Measure TCR-1 Inadvertent Discoveries During Construction In the event that cultural resources of Native American origin are identified during grading or construction, all earth disturbing work within the vicinity of the find shall be temporarily suspended or redirected until a qualified archaeologist has evaluated the nature and significance of the find; an appropriate Native American representative, based on the nature of the find, is consulted; and mitigation measures are put in place for the disposition and protection of any find pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21083.2. If the City, in consultation with local Native Americans, determines that the resource is a tribal cultural resource and thus significant under CEQA, a mitigation plan shall be prepared and implemented in accordance with state guidelines and in	During construction	Development and Engineering Services Department – Community Development Department.		

EXHIBIT	Е
---------	---

consultation with local Native American group(s) prior to continuation of any earth disturbing work within the vicinity of the find. The plan shall include avoidance of the resource or, if avoidance of the resource is infeasible, shall outline the appropriate treatment of the resource in coordination with the appropriate local Native American tribal representative and, if applicable, a qualified archaeologist. Examples of appropriate mitigation for tribal cultural resources include, but are not limited to, protecting the cultural character and integrity of the resource, protecting traditional use of the resource, protecting the confidentiality of the resource, or heritage recovery.			
Utilities and Service Systems Mitigation Measure UTL-1: New development generating wastewater flows that results in a downstream exceedance of 0.75 d/D shall construct system upgrades for those found to be insufficient in capacity per the requirements of the Public Works Department. The flow shall be verified through a sewer modeling program during the planning and design phase, prior to entitlement approval. The model shall evaluate pipeline capacity, flow velocity, and maximum d/D ratio for normal, dry, and wet weather conditions.	Prior to permit approval.	Development and Engineering Services Department	

SEARS (NORTHRIDGE MALL) MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM APN 253-201-054-000 (8.41-ACRE PORTION)

Page 49 of 60

(GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT 2022-002 AND REZONE 2022-002)

Mitigation Measures	Timing of Verification	Responsible for Verification	Verifica Comp	
	venilcation	venncation	Date	Initials
Air Quality				
Mitigation Measure AQ-1: Construction Air Quality. During construction, the	During	Development and		
applicant or successor in interest for each individual site shall:	construction	Engineering		
 Limit grading to 8.1 acres per day, and limit grading and excavation to 2.2 acres per day. 	•	Services Department – Plan		
 Provide watering trucks on site to maintain adequate soil moisture during grading and water 		Check Service		
graded/excavated areas at least twice daily, thus minimizing dust generation. In addition, the				
water trucks shall be used to wash down trucks and tractors, including earth loads, prior to entering public roadways.				
 Prohibit all grading activities whenever wind speeds exceed 15 miles per hour (mph). 				
 Maintain a minimum of two feet for freeboard for all haul trucks. 				
 Cover all trucks hauling dirt, sand, or loose materials. 				
Cover inactive storage piles.				
• Enforce a 15-mph speed limit for all unpaved surfaces when visible dust clouds are formed by vehicle movement.				
• Place gravel base near site entrances to clean tires prior to entering public roadways.				
Mitigation Measure AQ-2: MBARD Health Risk Consultation. Prior to issuance	Prior to	Development and		
of any grading permit and/or building permit for each individual site, the	issuance of	Engineering		
applicant or successor in interest shall consult with MBARD regarding the	any grading	Services		
potential need for a diesel health risk assessment (HRA). If required, the	permit	Department – Plan		
applicant or successor in interest shall prepare a diesel HRA and shall	and/or	Check Services;		
implement the measures contained therein to ensure that project-specific	building	MBARD		

			EXHIBI	
 emissions are below MBARD's established health risk thresholds: hazard index greater than 1 for acute or chronic impacts, and cancer risk greater than 10 in one million for long-term operational emissions or 1 per 100,000 population for temporary construction-related emissions. Measures may include, but would not be limited to: Use of diesel-fueled equipment equipped with Tier 4 (or Tier 3 if the Tier 4 standard is unavailable) USEPA engine standards. The USEPA estimates that Tier 4 engines would reduce PM emissions by approximately 90 percent compared to the USEPA Tier 2 standards (USEPA 2008). Retrofit off-road diesel equipment with Verified Diesel Emissions Control Strategy (VDECS) like Diesel Particulate Filters (DPF). Particulate Matter level 3 VDECS can provide at least an 85 percent reduction (CARB 2015). Use alternatively fueled (e.g., natural gas) diesel construction equipment, including all off-road and portable diesel-powered equipment. Use electrically driven equipment that is not powered by a portable generator set. Limit the hours of operation for heavy-duty equipment and/or limit the quantity of heavy-duty equipment operating at the same time. 	permit; during construction			
 Biological Resources Mitigation Measure BIO-1: Nesting Bird Surveys and Avoidance. The Project shall implement the following measures to mitigate for loss of nesting habitat of the Project in compliance with the federal Migratory Bird Treaty Act and relevant Fish and Game Codes: Avoidance. In order to avoid impacts to nesting raptors and migratory birds, the Project will be constructed, if feasible, from September 16th and January 31st, which is outside the avian nesting season. Preconstruction Surveys. If Project activities must occur during the nesting season (February 1-September 15), a qualified biologist will conduct preconstruction surveys for active raptor and migratory bird nests within 10 days prior to the start of these activities. The survey will 	Not more than 14 days prior to vegetation clearance.	Development and Engineering Services Department – Community Development Department		

 include the proposed work area(s) and surrounding lands within 500 feet, where accessible, for all nesting raptors and migratory birds. If no active nests are found within the survey area, no further mitigation is required. Establish Buffers. Should any active nests be discovered near proposed work areas, no disturbance buffers of 250 feet around active nests of non-listed bird species and 500 feet around active nests of non-listed raptors will be established. If work needs to occur within these no disturbance buffers, a qualified biologist will monitor the nest daily for one week, and thereafter once a week, throughout the duration of construction activity. Should the nature of construction activity significantly change, such that a higher level of disturbance will be generated, monitoring will occur daily for one week and then resume the once-a-week regime. If, at any time, the biologist determines that construction activity may be compromising nesting success, construction activity within the designated buffer will be altered or suspended until the biologist determines that the nest site is no longer susceptible to deleterious disturbance. 			
Cultural Resources CUL-1 Historical Resources Identification and Treatment Plan Prior to permit approval for development on the Project site, a historical resources evaluation shall be completed for that individual site to confirm if existing buildings and/or structures withing these sites qualify as historical resources as defined by Section 15064.5(a) of CEQA Guidelines. The evaluation shall be prepared by a qualified architectural historian or historian who meets the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualifications Standards (PQS) in architectural history or history. The qualified architectural historian or historian shall conduct an intensive-level evaluation in accordance with the guidelines and best practices promulgated by the State Office of Historic Preservation to identify any potential historical resources within the proposed project area. All properties 45 years of age or older shall be evaluated within their historic context and documented in a report meeting the State Office of Historic Preservation guidelines. All evaluated properties shall be documented	Prior to permit approval.	Development and Engineering Services Department – Community Development Department	

			EXHIBI		
on Department of Parks and Recreation Series 523 Forms. The report shall be submitted to the City for review and concurrence. Any relocation, rehabilitation, or alteration of the resource shall be implemented consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatments of Historic Properties (Standards). In accordance with CEQA, a project that has been determined to conform with the Standards generally would not cause a significant adverse direct or indirect impact to historical resources (14 CCR Section 15126.4[b][1]). Application of the Standards shall be overseen by a qualified architectural historian or historic architect meeting the PQS. In conjunction with any development application that may affect the historical resource, a report identifying and specifying the treatment of character-defining features and construction activities shall be provided to the City for review and concurrence, in addition to the historical resources evaluation. If significant historical resources are identified on a development site and compliance with the Standards and or avoidance is not feasible, the applicant or developer shall provide a report explaining why compliance with the Standards and or avoidance is not feasible, the applicant or developer shall provide a report explaining why compliance with the Standards and or avoidance is not feasible for the City's review and approval. Site-specific mitigation measures shall be established and undertaken, including, but not limited to, documentation of the historical resource in the form of a kilderine American Puril ding Currence with line meant the applicant applicant applicant applicant applicant applicant purce with the course of the historical resource in the form of a kilderine American Puril ding Currence with line meant The amerit applicant purce with the currence with head to approve applicant applicant applicant applicant applicant applicant applicant applicant a				<u>1 E</u>	
or developer shall provide a report explaining why compliance with the Standards and or avoidance is not feasible for the City's review and approval.					
including, but not limited to, documentation of the historical resource in the form of a Historic American Buildings Survey-Like report. The report shall be commissioned by the project applicant or their consultant to comply with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Architectural and Engineering					
Documentation and shall generally follow the Historic American Buildings Survey Level III requirements, including digital photographic recordation, detailed historic narrative report, and compilation of historic research. The					
documentation shall be completed by a qualified architectural historian or historian who meets the PQS and submitted to the City prior to issuance of any permits for demolition or alteration of the historical resource.					
Mitigation Measure CUL-2 Phase I Cultural Resources Study Prior to the issuance of any grading or construction permits for each individual site, a Phase I cultural resources study shall be performed by a qualified professional meeting the Secretary of the Interior's (SOI's) Professional	Prior to issuance of grading or	Development and Engineering Services Department –			

			ЕХПІВІ	
Qualification Standards (PQS) for archaeology (National Park Service 1983).	construction	Community		
The Phase I cultural resources study shall include a pedestrian survey of the	permits.	Development		
project site when appropriate and sufficient background research and field		Department		
sampling to determine whether archaeological resources may be present.				
Archival research shall include a records search of the Northwest Information				
Center (NWIC) no more than two years old and a Sacred Lands File search				
with the NAHC. The Phase I technical report documenting the study shall				
include recommendations that shall be implemented prior to and/or during				
construction to avoid or reduce impacts to archaeological resources.				
Recommendations may include, but would not be limited to, archaeological				
construction monitoring, sensitivity training, or additional testing and mitigation				
(outlined in Mitigation Measures CUL-3 through CUL-7). The report shall be				
submitted to the City for review and approval prior to the issuance of any				
grading or construction permits. The City shall include recommendations in the				
Phase I technical report as Conditions of Approval to be implemented				
throughout all ground disturbance activities. The final report shall be submitted				
to the NWIC.				
Mitigation Measure CUL-3 Extended Phase I Testing	Prior to the	Development and		
If recommended by the Phase I study for each individual site (Mitigation	issuance of	Engineering		
Measure CUL-2), the project applicant shall retain a qualified archaeologist to	a grading or	Services		
conduct an Extended Phase I (XPI) study to determine the presence/absence	construction	Department –		
and extent of archaeological resources on the project site. XPI testing shall	permit.	Community		
include a series of shovel test pits and/or hand augured units and/or		Development		
mechanical trenching to establish the boundaries of archaeological site(s) on		Department		
the project site. If the boundaries of the archaeological site are already well				
understood from previous archaeological work, an XPI will not be required. All				
archaeological excavation shall be conducted by a qualified archaeologist(s)				
under the direction of a principal investigator meeting the SOI's PQS for				
archaeology (National Park Service 1983). If an XPI report is prepared, it shall				
be submitted to the City for review and approval prior to the issuance of a				
grading or construction permit. Recommendations therein shall be				
implemented for all ground disturbance activities. Recommendations may				
include, but would not be limited to, site avoidance, Phase II Site Evaluation,				1

Cultural Resources Monitoring, and/or measures for unanticipated discoveries (outlined in Mitigation Measures CUL-4, CUL-5, CUL-7, and CUL-8). The final report shall be submitted to the NWIC.			
Mitigation Measure CUL-4 Archaeological Site Avoidance Any identified archaeological sites (determined after implementing Mitigation Measures CUL-2 and/or CUL-3) or archaeological resources encountered during ground-disturbing activities shall be avoided by project-related construction activities, where feasible. A barrier (temporary fencing) and flagging shall be placed between the work location and any resources within 60 feet of a work location to minimize the potential for inadvertent impacts. If the resource cannot be avoided, Mitigation Measure CUL-5 shall be implemented.	During construction	Development and Engineering Services Department – Community Development Department	
Mitigation Measure CUL-5 Phase II Site Evaluation If the results of any Phase I and/or XPI for each individual site (Mitigation Measures CUL-2 and/or CUL-3) indicate the presence of archaeological resources that cannot be avoided by the project (Mitigation Measure CUL-4) and that have not been adequately evaluated for the NRHP or CRHR listing at the project site, the qualified archaeologist shall conduct a Phase II investigation to determine if intact deposits remain and if they may be eligible for the CRHR or qualify as unique archaeological resources. If the archaeological resource(s) of concern are Native American in origin, the qualified archaeologist shall confer with the City and local California Native American tribe(s). A Phase I evaluation shall include any necessary archival research to identify significant historical associations and mapping of surface artifacts, collection of functionally or temporally diagnostic tools and debris, and excavation of a sample of the cultural deposit. The sample excavation would be carried out to characterize the nature of the site(s), define the artifact and feature contents, determine horizontal and vertical boundaries, and retrieve representative samples of artifacts and other remains. If the archaeologist and, if applicable, a Native American monitor or other interested tribal representative determine it is appropriate, cultural materials	During construction	Development and Engineering Services Department – Community Development Department	

according to standard archaeological procedures. The age of the materials shall be determined using radiocarbon dating and/or other appropriate procedures; lithic artifacts, faunal remains, and other cultural materials shall be identified and analyzed according to current professional standards. The significance of the site(s) shall be evaluated according to the criteria of the CRHR and if applicable, NRHP. The results of the investigations shall be presented in a technical report following the standards of the California Office of Historic Preservation publication "Archaeological Resource Management Reports: Recommended Content and Format (1990 or latest edition)." Recommendations in the Phase II report shall be implemented for all ground disturbance activities. Recommendations may include, but would not be limited to, Phase III Data Recovery, Cultural Resources Monitoring, and/or measures for unanticipated discoveries (outlined in Mitigation Measures CUL-6 through CUL-8). The report shall be submitted to the City for review and approval prior to the issuance of any grading or construction permits. The final report shall be submitted to the NWIC. Mitigation Measure CUL-6 Phase III Data Recovery Should the results of the Phase II site evaluation for each individual site	During construction	Development and Engineering	
(Mitigation Measure CUL-5) yield resources that meet CRHR significance standards and if the resource cannot be avoided by project construction in accordance with CUL-4, the project applicant shall ensure that all feasible recommendations for mitigation of archaeological impacts are incorporated into the final design and approved by the City prior to construction. Any necessary Phase III data recovery excavation, conducted to exhaust the data potential of significant archaeological sites, shall be carried out by a qualified archaeologist meeting the SOI's PQS for archeology (National Park Service 1983). Data recovery shall be conducted in accordance with a research design reviewed and approved by the City, prepared in advance of fieldwork, and using the appropriate archaeological field and laboratory methods consistent with the California Office of Historic Preservation Planning Bulletin 5 (1991), Guidelines for Archaeological resource(s) of concern are Native American in origin, the		Services Department – Community Development Department	

 qualified archaeologist shall confer with the City and local California Native American tribe(s). As applicable, the final Phase III Data Recovery reports shall be submitted to the City prior to issuance of any grading or construction permit. Recommendations contained therein shall be implemented throughout all ground disturbance activities. Recommendations may include, but would not be limited to, Cultural Resources Monitoring, and/or measures for unanticipated discoveries (outlined in Mitigation Measures CUL-7 and CUL-8). The final report shall be submitted to the NWIC upon completion. Mitigation Measure CUL-7 Cultural Resources Monitoring If recommended by Phase I, XPI, Phase II, or Phase III studies for each individual site (Mitigation Measures CUL-2, CUL-3, CUL-5, and/or CUL-6), the project applicant shall retain a qualified archaeologist to monitor project- related, ground-disturbing activities which may include the following but not limited to: grubbing, vegetation removal, trenching, grading, and/or excavations. The archaeological monitor shall coordinate with any Native American monitor as required. Monitoring logs must be completed by the archaeologist daily. Cultural resources monitoring may be reduced for the project if the qualified archaeologist finds it appropriate to reduce the monitoring efforts. Upon completion of ground disturbance for the project, a final report must be submitted to the City for review and approval documenting the monitoring efforts, cultural resources find, and resource disposition. The final report shall be submitted to the NWIC. 	During construction	Development and Engineering Services Department – Community Development Department	
Mitigation Measure CUL-8 Unanticipated Discovery of Cultural Resources If archaeological resources are encountered during ground-disturbing activities, work within 50 feet shall be halted and the project archaeologist meeting the SOI's PQS for archeology (National Park Service 1983) shall immediately to evaluate the find pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21083.2. If necessary, the evaluation may require preparation of a treatment plan and archaeological testing for CRHR eligibility. If the discovery proves to be significant under CEQA and cannot be avoided by the project, additional work may be warranted, such as data recovery excavation, to mitigate any significant impacts to significant resources. If the resource is of Native	During construction	Development and Engineering Services Department – Community Development Department	

			EXHIBI	ТΕ
American origin, implementation of Mitigation Measures TCR-1 may be required. Any reports required to document and/or evaluate unanticipated discoveries shall be submitted to the City for review and approval and submitted to the NWIC after completion. Recommendations contained therein shall be implemented throughout the remainder of ground disturbance activities.				
Greenhouse Gas Emissions		•	•	
Mitigation Measure GHG-1: Future development shall install EV charging infrastructure according to the most ambitious voluntary standard in the California Green Building Standards Code at the time of project approval.	Prior to permit approval.	Development and Engineering Services Department – Community Development Department		
Mitigation Measure GHG-2: Future development shall provide no more parking spaces than the off-street parking requirements established in the City of Salinas Municipal Code. Alternatively, multi-family residential development can choose to unbundle parking costs with costs to rent or own a residential unit instead of meeting the maximum off-parking requirement.	Prior to permit approval.	Development and Engineering Services Department – Community Development Department		
Noise			•	
 Mitigation Measure NOI-1: Prior to ground disturbing activities, the City of Salinas shall ensure the following with the Project proponent: Construction equipment, fixed of mobile, shall be outfitted with properly operating and maintained mufflers. Construction noise reduction methods such as shutting off idling equipment, installing temporary acoustic barriers around stationary construction noise sources, maximizing the distance between construction equipment staging areas and occupied residential areas, and using electric air compressors and similar power tools rather than diesel equipment shall be used. 	During construction	Development and Engineering Services Department – Community Development Department.		

			EXHIBI	ΤE
• During construction, stationary construction equipment shall be located so that emitted noise is directed away from or shielded from sensitive noise receivers.				
Mitigation Measure NOI-2: The use of heavy construction equipment within 25 feet of existing structures shall be prohibited.	During construction	Development and Engineering Services Department – Community Development Department.		
Transportation	Drive (a	Development	[1
Mitigation Measure TRANS-1: To maintain safety standards at all intersections and roadway segments pursuant to implementation actions identified in the Vision Zero Action Plan, a traffic impact study shall be required for all development projects anticipated to generate 110 or more new daily vehicle trips within the Project Area, unless not required by the City. Depending on the results of this study, future developments may be required to construct or contribute to street safety improvements to meet the demand generated by the project. Improvements shall be in accordance with the City of Salinas' Vision Zero Action Plan (i.e. pedestrian-activated crosswalk warning beacon, high visibility crosswalks, pedestrian hybrid beacon, reduced parking at intersection, intersection control, raised median and street trees, protected bike lanes, and lane reduction). These improvements shall be required as conditions of approval.	Prior to permit approval.	Development and Engineering Services Department – Traffic Engineering and Plan Check Services		
Tribal Cultural Resources	•	•		•
Mitigation Measure TCR-1 Inadvertent Discoveries During Construction In the event that cultural resources of Native American origin are identified during grading or construction, all earth disturbing work within the vicinity of the find shall be temporarily suspended or redirected until a qualified archaeologist has evaluated the nature and significance of the find; an appropriate Native American representative, based on the nature of the find, is consulted; and mitigation measures are put in place for the disposition and protection of any find pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21083.2. If the City, in	During construction	Development and Engineering Services Department – Community Development Department.		

consultation with local Native Americans, determines that the resource is a tribal cultural resource and thus significant under CEQA, a mitigation plan shall be prepared and implemented in accordance with state guidelines and in consultation with local Native American group(s) prior to continuation of any earth disturbing work within the vicinity of the find. The plan shall include avoidance of the resource or, if avoidance of the resource is infeasible, shall outline the appropriate treatment of the resource in coordination with the appropriate local Native American tribal representative and, if applicable, a qualified archaeologist. Examples of appropriate mitigation for tribal cultural resources include, but are not limited to, protecting the cultural character and integrity of the resource, protecting traditional use of the resource, protecting the confidentiality of the resource, or heritage recovery.			
Utilities and Service Systems Mitigation Measure UTL-1: New development generating wastewater flows that	Prior to	Development and	
results in a downstream exceedance of 0.75 d/D shall construct system upgrades for those found to be insufficient in capacity per the requirements of	permit approval.	Engineering Services	
the Public Works Department. The flow shall be verified through a sewer modeling program during the planning and design phase, prior to entitlement approval. The model shall evaluate pipeline capacity, flow velocity, and maximum d/D ratio for normal, dry, and wet weather conditions.	app. ordi	Department	