

Thomas Wiles

From: Rose Clark [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, February 10, 2023 7:52 PM
To: Courtney Grossman; Steve Mcshane; Greg Hamer; Dave Clark; CurrPlanwebmail; Thomas Wiles; Rose Clark; _salinasmayor
Subject: This came out of left field

Dear Sirs, last Wednesday March the 1st we were at the scheduled planning commission meeting where the Conditional Use Permit was to be heard after several postponements, however, the meeting was cancelled due to a lack of quorum and we were told at that time that this issue now would go directly to The City Council, so I trusted that the next step for us to be heard was at the City Council meeting.

Today I heard about the HIUC meeting

being heard on Feb 14, and in their agenda Appears the Conditional Use Permit already scheduled and recommended by staff for their approval to send to City Council. Were we even going to be told about this? Somehow it does not seem right to be left out.

All we want is a fair chance to be heard like any other citizen opposing something that will affect their lives.

Whatever the outcome, being acknowledged and feeling we mattered should be what elected or appointed officials should strive for in their position.

Best regards,

Rose Clark

Realtor, ABR, GRI, CalDre# 01272886

Coldwell Banker Realty
[REDACTED]

Thomas Wiles

From: Rose Clark [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, February 11, 2023 10:23 AM
To: Beatriz Trujillo-Ortega; Courtney Grossman; Steve Mcshane; Thomas Wiles; Dave Clark; Greg Hamer; Rose Clark
Subject: Intent to Protest again

Dear Sirs, here I am again, protesting this conditional use permit which now apparently was sent to you. (HIUC)

I went through this process with the planning commission, so following with the below guidelines which were just posted yesterday afternoon and I was not sent to, please take in consideration my request.

(2) No protest of the city planner's decision is received prior to or on the date intended for approval as indicated in Section 37-60.500(b) of this division.

(b)

Notice of Intent to Approve. Following acceptance of a completed application and the city planner's determination to approve the application without a public hearing, notice shall be mailed or delivered by the city planner to all owners of real property as shown on the latest equalized assessment roll (or other reliable method as approved by the city council) within three hundred feet of the subject property at least ten days prior to the city planner's intended date of approval of the conditional use permit.

(c)

Decision. Following the conclusion of the ten-day notice period and if no protest is received, the city planner shall approve or conditionally approve the application and make written findings supporting the reason for the decision in accordance with *Section 37.60-520: Required findings* of this division. The city planner's decision shall be subject to a ten-day appeal period in accordance with Division 17: Appeals. The city planner shall have the discretion to refer any decision on an application for a conditional use permit to the planning commission if the city planner determines that a public hearing would better serve the public's interest or if the application raises substantial land use issues.

(d)

Effective Date. The effective date of the conditional use permit shall be in accordance with Section 37-60.530: Effective date-Appeals of this division.

Thank you for your time,

ROSE CLARK, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Thomas Wiles

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, February 11, 2023 12:11 PM
To: Beatriz Trujillo-Ortega; Steve McShane; Courtney Grossman; Thomas Wiles
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd: Intent to Protest again

Categories: Red Category

Is this grounds for Revocation Of The Permit? My wife and I were not contacted to protest the variance request taking place on Wednesday. We were told by the Planning Commission in February the issue was out of their hands and the City Council was going to hear our case.

Now we find out the Planning Commission without our knowledge was going to approve the application this coming week.

That meeting would have been against the Municipal Code. There was no compliance which appears to mean the permit should be **Revocation of**

permits. https://library.municode.com/ca/salinas/codes/code_of_ordinances?nodeId=PTIITHCO_CH37ZO_ARTVIAD_DIV18ENPE_S37-60.1340REPE

- **Munic Code Division 18. - Enforcement and Penalties.**

[SHARE LINK TO SECTIONPRINT SECTIONDOWNLOAD \(DOCX\) OF SECTIONEMAIL SECTION](#)

- **Sec. 37-60.1320. - Compliance required.**

[SHARE LINK TO SECTIONPRINT SECTIONDOWNLOAD \(DOCX\) OF SECTIONEMAIL SECTION](#)

All persons empowered by this Zoning Code to grant permits, licenses, certificates, or other approvals shall comply with the regulations of this Zoning Code and grant no permit, license, certificate, or approval in conflict with such regulations. Any permit, license, certificate, or approval granted in conflict with any provision of this Zoning Code shall be null and void.

(Ord. No. 2463 (NCS).)

- **Sec. 37-60.1330. - Revocation of permits.**

Thank You Dave Clark

-----Original Message-----

From: Rose Clark [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]; Thomas Wiles [REDACTED]; Steve McShane [REDACTED]; Dave Clark

Greg Hamer

Rose Clark

Sent: Sat, Feb 11, 2023 10:23 am

Subject: Intent to Protest again

Dear Sirs, here I am again, protesting this conditional use permit which now apparently was sent to you.(HIUC) I went through this process with the planning commission, so following with the below guidelines which were just posted yesterday afternoon and I was not sent to, please take in consideration my request.

(2) No protest of the city planner's decision is received prior to or on the date intended for approval as indicated in [Section 37-60.500\(b\)](#) of this division.

(b)

Notice of Intent to Approve. Following acceptance of a completed application and the city planner's determination to approve the application without a public hearing, notice shall be mailed or delivered by the city planner to all owners of real property as shown on the latest equalized assessment roll (or other reliable method as approved by the city council) within three hundred feet of the subject property at least ten days prior to the city planner's intended date of approval of the conditional use permit.

(c)

Decision. Following the conclusion of the ten-day notice period and if no protest is received, the city planner shall approve or conditionally approve the application and make written findings supporting the reason for the decision in accordance with [Section 37.60-520: Required findings](#) of this division. The city planner's decision shall be subject to a ten-day appeal period in accordance with [Division 17: Appeals](#). The city planner shall have the discretion to refer any decision on an application for a conditional use permit to the planning commission if the city planner determines that a public hearing would better serve the public's interest or if the application raises substantial land use issues.

(d)

Effective Date. The effective date of the conditional use permit shall be in accordance with [Section 37-60.530: Effective date—Appeals of this division](#).

Thank you for you time,

ROSE CLARK,

Thomas Wiles

From: Rose Clark [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, February 13, 2023 4:25 PM
To: Steve McShane; [REDACTED] _salinasmayor; _district1; _district2; _district4; _district5; _district6
Cc: Courtney Grossman; Thomas Wiles; [REDACTED] Greg Hamer; Rose Clark
Subject: FW: Conditional use permit on your agenda now for 106 San Juan Drive
Attachments: picture 2.jpg; picture1.jpg; picture3.jpg; picture4.jpg; Picture5.jpg; picture6.jpg; picture7.jpg; picture8.jpg; Picture9.jpg; picture10.jpg; picture11.jpg; Picture12.jpg; Picture13.jpg; picture14.jpg; picture15.jpg

Salinas City Council
RE: Conditional Use Permit at 106 San Juan Drive

Dear Members of the City Council, after several attempts to have the planning Commission address this issue at their meeting and having them all postponed and canceled, you are the next in line, so we were told on the February 1st meeting of what was to be our opportunity to address them. (Canceled)
Attached are some pictures of my property located at 102 San Juan Drive (next door to the above-mentioned property) to better give you an idea of why my opposition to what is called "A minor Exception to extend a legal nonconforming two-foot side yard setback in connection with a 220 square foot, 14'-3" high garage addition to the existing 480 square foot 2 car garage."

In 2019, my husband and I agreed to the neighbor's request to have a fence installed in front of the side of their garage and in our back yard to allow them to use that space in case he ever wanted to paint his garage without having to come into our back yard. We took out our garden, re-landscaped and put in the fence all the way to the back of the property as he wanted.

Our home as many of the homes in this area were built with the garages being used as part of the fence separating the lots, as to be able to have more space. Fast forward to now, I see why they wanted the fence built.

I ask you to consider my reasoning for requesting a bigger set-back from my fence, if not the setback requested for new construction of 5 feet, at least a little further away so that the huge roof line will not continue along the whole side of my back fence looking like a warehouse and creating a horrible eye sore to the yard that we so enjoy with our grandchild.

The pictures attached show our view now, some of them are from my breakfast nook and another from my bedroom window, as you can see, I will be viewing their garage over our waterfall and the area that we so love to enjoy. My family has been a resident of the Monterey Park area for over 50 years and members of the Salinas community since 1948, This is our home and plan for our future generations to call home too.

I truly Thank you for your time and consideration and would welcome all questions you might have for me.
Best regards,

Rose Clark, [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]





























